## Town of Thompson's Station Municipal Planning Commission Remote Meeting Agenda January 26th, 2021

Meeting Called To Order- Roll Call

## Statement By Chair Relating To Conducting The Planning Commission Meeting By Electronic Means Of Due To COVID-19 State Of Emergency

Documents:

## INTRODUCTION STATEMENT FOR TS PC JAN 2021.PDF

## Consideration Of The Minutes Of The November 17, 2020 Meeting

Documents:

## NOVEMBER 2020 MINUTES.PDF

## **Public Comment**

Any citizen desiring to make a comment can submit their written comments to the Town, which will be included in the meeting minutes for public perusal.

Email your comments to Town Hall at INFO@THOMPSONS-STATION.COM with <u>January</u> <u>Planning Commission Public Comments</u> as the Subject Line.

Contact the Town Community Development office with any questions at (615) 794-4333 ext. 12.

## **Planner Report**

## **AGENDA ITEMS**

1. Tollgate Village Subdivision Final Plat – Section 18 For The Creation Of 5 Single Family Lots Located Along Americus Drive At The Tollgate Boulevard Intersection.

Documents:

## ITEM 1 - TOLLAGE VILLAGE SECTION 18B STAFF REPORT 1-26-21.PDF ITEM 1 - TOLLGATE VILLAGE SECTION 18B FP PC SUBMITTAL 1-13-21.PDF

2. Littleberry Subdivision Final Plat – Section 1 For The Creation Of 13 Single Family Lots, 2 Wastewater Lots, And 4 Open Space Lots Located Along Littlebury Park Drive And Cherry Jack Lane.

Documents:

ITEM 2 - LITTLEBURY SECTION 1 FP STAFF REPORT 1-26-21.PDF ITEM 2 - LITTLEBURY SECTION 1 FP PC SUBMITTAL 1-15-21.PDF

3. The Hills Preliminary Plat For The Creation Of A New 41-Lot Single Family Residential Subdivision Located At The Terminus Of Dean Road.

Documents:

ITEM 3 - THE HILLS PP STAFF REPORT 1-26-21.PDF ITEM 3 - THE HILLS -DEAN ROAD PRELIMINARY PLAT PC MEETING SUBMITTAL.PDF

4. Consideration Of Ordinance 2021-004, An Amendment To The Land Development Ordinance To Cleanup And Clarify Various Sections.

Documents:

ITEM 4 - ORD 2021-004 LDO CLEAN UP AMEND MEMO.PDF ITEM 4 - ORD 2021-004 LDO CLEAN UP TEXT AMEND 1-26-21.PDF

5. Discussion Of Draft Annexation Policy For Planning Commission Input Prior To BOMA Consideration.

Documents:

## ITEM 5 DRAFT ANNEXATION POLICY 1-19-21.PDF

6. Planning Commission Review And Recommendation Onto BOMA Of ROW/Intersection Relocation Of Buckner Lane At Thompson's Station Road East.

Documents:

ITEM 6 PC REIVEW OF BUCKNER LN-TS RD W INTERSECTION MEMO.PDF ITEM 6 ROW-INTERSECTION REQUEST PC PACKAGE.PDF

## **BOND ACTIONS/REPORT**

7. Bridgemore Village Section 6C

Documents:

ITEM 7 BRIDGEMORE VILLAGE 6C SURETY STAFF REPORT.PDF

8. Bridgemore Village Section 6D

Documents:

ITEM 8 BRIDGEMORE VILLAGE 6D SURETY STAFF REPORT.PDF

## 9. Update On Bonds

## Adjourn

This meeting will be held remotely due to the Public Health emergency related to COVID-19 & will be live-streamed via our website www.thompsons-station.com

## STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD AT START OF MEETING Thompson's Station Planning Commission

Hello and welcome to this the January 26th, 2021, Planning Commission meeting for the Town of Thompson's Station.

Pursuant to the Guidance from the Office of the Comptroller for the State of Tennessee and in accordance with Governor Lee's Executive Order # 71 (which was previously extended by Executive Order # 16, 34, 51, 60 and 65): due to the treatment and containment of COVID-19.

This Town of Thompson's Station Planning Commission meeting, with notice, is being held virtually and being recorded to protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the Citizens of Thompson's Station in light of the coronavirus and to continue to allow the Town to function and operate.

Further, it is the desire of the Planning Commission to include this determination in the minutes for this meeting.

We understand that we, the Thompson's Station Planning Commission, serves the Town of Thompson's Station, which is why we are currently recording this virtual meeting, broadcasting it live for public viewing and uploading and preserving it for future viewing.

## <u>Minutes of the Meeting</u> of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson 's Station, Tennessee November 17, 2020

## Call to Order:

The meeting of the Municipal Planning Commission of the Town of Thompson's Station was called to order at 7:00 p.m. on 17th day of November 2020 via electronic means under the authority of the Governor's Executive Order related to public meetings during the COVID-19 emergency with the required quorum.

The following statement was read by Planning Chairman Trent Harris:

Hello and welcome to this the November 17, 2020, Planning Commission meeting for the Town of Thompson's Station.

Pursuant to the Guidance from the Office of the Comptroller for the State of Tennessee and in accordance with Governor Lee's Executive Order # 60 (which was previously extended by Executive Order # 16, 34, and 51): due to the treatment and containment of COVID-19.

This Town of Thompson's Station Planning Commission meeting, with notice, is being held virtually and being recorded to protect the public health, safety, and welfare of the Citizens of Thompson's Station in light of the coronavirus and to continue to allow the Town to function and operate.

Further, it is the desire of the Planning Commission to include this determination in the minutes for this meeting.

We understand that we, the Thompson's Station Planning Commission, serves the Town of Thompson's Station, which is why we are currently recording this virtual meeting, broadcasting it live for public viewing and uploading and preserving it for future viewing.

A recording of this meeting will be available on the Town of Thompson's Station's web site at *thompsons-station.com* within 24 hours of this meeting.

Members and staff virtually present were: Chairman Trent Harris; Alderman Shaun Alexander; Commissioner Luis Parra; Commissioner Sheila Shipman; Commissioner Tara Rumpler; Commissioner Bob Whitmer; Interim Town Planner Micah Wood; Planning Technician Jennifer Jones; IT Coordinator Tyler Rainey and Town Attorney Andrew Mills. Commissioner Kreis White was unable to attend.

Also present were Mr. Jay Franks, applicant 1 and Mr. Huntly Gordon, applicant 5.

## Minutes:

The minutes of the October 27, 2020 regular meeting were presented.

## Commissioner Whitmer made a motion to approve the October 27, 2020 meeting minutes.

Commissioner Parra Yea

Commissioner Rumpler Yea

## **Roll Call Vote:**

VOTEChairman HarrisYeaCommissioner ShipmanYea

VOTE

**VOTE** 

Alderman Alexander Yea Commissioner White NA Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting November 17, 2020

Page 2

Commissioner Whitmer Yea Yea 6 Nay 0

Abstain 0

Public Comment: None

## Town Planner Report:

- Reminder to complete Planning Commission training.
- Staff is currently working on an administrative manual for planning submittals.

## New Business:

1. Canterbury Subdivision Final Plat – Section 15 for the creation of 26 single family lots and 3 open space lots located north of Critz Lane.

Mr. Wood reviewed his staff report and Based on the project's compliance with the approved Phase 15 preliminary plat and the LDO, Staff recommends that the Planning Commission approve the final plat with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$410,000 for roadways, drainage, and utilities.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$154,000 for sewer.
- 3. All tree replacements shall be installed in accordance with the approved replacement plan for phase 15.
- 4. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

After discussion, Commissioner Whitmer made a motion to approve Item 1, a final plat for Section 15 of the Fields of Canterbury with the recommended contingencies. The motion was seconded, and a roll call vote was taken:

| Roll Call Vote:      |            |                    |             |                        |
|----------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| V                    | <u>OTE</u> |                    | <b>VOTE</b> | <b>VOTE</b>            |
| Chairman Harris      | Yea        | Commissioner Parra | Yea         | Alderman Alexander Yea |
| Commissioner Shipman | Yea        | Commissioner Rumpl | er Yea      | Commissioner White NA  |
| Commissioner Whitmer | Yea        |                    |             |                        |
| Yea 6                | Nay        | 0 Abstai           | n 0         |                        |

# 2. Whistle Stop Subdivision Final Plat – Section 7a for the creation of 36 townhome lots and 4 open space lots located west of Thompson's Station Road West.

Mr. Wood reviewed his report and recommends that the Planning Commission approve the final plat with the following contingencies:

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting November 17, 2020

Page 3

- 1. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$577,800 for roadways, grading, drainage, and erosion control.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$146,700 for sewer.
- 3. The plat shall be revised to provide a designation to the unlettered open space tract behind the alley adjacent to lots 65-72.
- 4. All tree replacements shall be installed in accordance with the approved plans.
- 5. The final plat shall correspond to any revisions to the Construction Plans for this section.
- 6. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

After discussion, Commissioner Whitmer made a motion to approve Item 2, a final plat for Section 7a of t Whistle Stop with the recommended contingencies. The motion was seconded, and a roll call vote was taken:

| <b>Roll Call Vote:</b> |            |                    |             |                    |            |
|------------------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
| V                      | <u>OTE</u> |                    | <b>VOTE</b> | V                  | <b>OTE</b> |
| Chairman Harris        | Yea        | Commissioner Parra | Yea         | Alderman Alexander | Yea        |
| Commissioner Shipman   | Yea        | Commissioner Rumpl | er Yea      | Commissioner White | NA         |
| Commissioner Whitmer   | Yea        |                    |             |                    |            |
| Yea 6                  | Nay        | 0 Abstai           | n 0         |                    |            |

# **3.** Whistle Stop Subdivision Final Plat- Section 7b for the creation of 20 townhome lots and 4 open space lots located west of Thompson's Station Road West.

Mr. Wood reviewed his staff report and recommends that the Planning Commission approve the final plat with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$353,400 for roadways, grading, drainage, and erosion control.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$54,900 for sewer.
- 3. All tree replacements shall be installed in accordance with the approved plans.
- 4. The final plat shall correspond to any revisions to the Construction Plans for this section.
- 5. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

After discussion, Commissioner Shipman made a motion to approve Item 3, a final plat for Section 7b of Whistle Stop with the recommended contingencies. The motion was seconded, and a roll call vote was taken:

| <b>Roll Call Vote:</b> |        |                          |                        |
|------------------------|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| -                      | VOTE   | <b>VOTE</b>              | VOTE                   |
| Chairman Harris        | Yea    | Commissioner Parra Yea   | Alderman Alexander Yea |
| Commissioner Shipma    | n Yea  | Commissioner Rumpler Yea | Commissioner White NA  |
| Commissioner Whitme    | er Yea |                          |                        |
| Yea 6                  | Nay    | 0 Abstain 0              |                        |

Page 4

# 4. Whistle Stop Subdivision Final Plat – Section 7c for the creation of 32 townhome lots and 3 open space lots located west of Thompson's Station Road West.

Mr. Wood reviewed his staff report and recommends that the Planning Commission approve the final plat with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$399,100 for roadways, grading, drainage, and erosion control.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$58,900 for sewer.
- 3. Note 12 shall be updated to reference 32 townhome lots.
- 4. All tree replacements shall be installed in accordance with the approved plans.
- 5. The final plat shall correspond to any revisions to the Construction Plans for this section.
- 6. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

After discussion, Commissioner Shipman made a motion to approve Item 4, a final plat for Section 7c of Whistle Stop with the recommended contingencies. The motion was seconded, and a roll call vote was taken:

## **Roll Call Vote:**

| V                    | OTE |              | V       | <b>OTE</b> | V                  | OTE |
|----------------------|-----|--------------|---------|------------|--------------------|-----|
| Chairman Harris      | Yea | Commissioner | Parra Y | ea         | Alderman Alexander | Yea |
| Commissioner Shipman | Yea | Commissioner | Rumpler | Yea        | Commissioner White | NA  |
| Commissioner Whitmer | Yea |              |         |            |                    |     |
| Yea 6                | Nay | 0            | Abstain | 0          |                    |     |

# 5. Request for Annexation and Plan of Services for Map 153 Parcels 3 and 4 (unnumbered Evergreen Road), totaling approximately 59 acres, located within the Town's Urban Growth Boundary, south of Evergreen Road.

Mr. Wood reviewed his report and recommends a favorable recommendation onto the BOMA for annexation and to adopt the Plan of Services for Map 153 Parcels 3 and 4 with the following contingency:

1. The applicant notes access is provided via an easement to Evergreen Road. Proof of access shall be provided prior to BOMA review of this request.

After discussion, Commissioner Shipman made a motion to make a favorable recommendation on to BOMA for annexation in the T2 zone and adopt the plan of services for Map 153, parcels 3 and 4 with the recommended contingencies. The motion was seconded and a roll call vote was taken.

| <b>Roll Call Vote:</b> |             |                          |                        |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|                        | <b>VOTE</b> | <b>VOTE</b>              | <b><u>VOTE</u></b>     |
| Chairman Harris        | Yea         | Commissioner Parra Yea   | Alderman Alexander Yea |
| Commissioner Shipma    | an Yea      | Commissioner Rumpler Yea | Commissioner White NA  |
| Commissioner Whitm     | er Yea      |                          |                        |
| Yea 6                  | Nay         | 0 Abstain 0              |                        |

Municipal Planning Commission – Minutes of the Meeting November 17, 2020

Page 5

## **Bond Actions/Report**

## 6. Bridgemore Village Section 6C

Mr. Wood reviewed his staff report and recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Release performance surety for roads, drainage and erosion control and establish a maintenance agreement for one year.
- 2. Recommend BOMA note acceptance of the public improvement prior to dedication after the 1-year maintenance period.

After discussion, Alderman Alexander made a motion to defer the bond release for Section 6C in Bridgemore Village until the January 2021 meeting. The motion was seconded and a roll call vote was taken.

| <b>Roll Call Vot</b>      | te:         |      |                 |             |                    |            |
|---------------------------|-------------|------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|
|                           | <b>VOTE</b> |      |                 | <b>VOTE</b> | $\mathbf{V}$       | <b>OTE</b> |
| Chairman Harris           | Yea         | Comm | nissioner Parra | Yea         | Alderman Alexander | Yea        |
| <b>Commissioner Shipm</b> | nan Yea     | Comm | nissioner Rump  | ler Yea     | Commissioner White | NA         |
| Commissioner Whitn        | ner Yea     |      |                 |             |                    |            |
| Yea                       | 6           | Nay  | 0               | Abstain     | 0                  |            |

## 7. Bridgemore Village Section 6D

Doll Coll Voto

Mr. Wood reviewed his staff report and recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Release performance surety for roads, drainage and erosion control and establish a maintenance agreement for one year.
- 2. Recommend BOMA note acceptance of the public improvement prior to dedication after the 1-year maintenance period.

After discussion, Alderman Alexander made a motion to defer the bond release for Section 6D in Bridgemore Village until the January 2021 meeting. The motion was seconded and a roll call vote was taken.

| Kon Can vote:          |           |      |                |             |                          |     |
|------------------------|-----------|------|----------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----|
| <u>VO'</u>             | <u>TE</u> |      |                | <b>VOTE</b> | $\underline{\mathbf{V}}$ | OTE |
| Chairman Harris        | l'ea 🛛    | Comm | issioner Parra | Yea         | Alderman Alexander       | Yea |
| Commissioner Shipman Y | Zea 🛛     | Comm | issioner Rumpl | er Yea      | Commissioner White       | NA  |
| Commissioner Whitmer Y | /ea       |      |                |             |                          |     |
| Yea 6                  | ]         | Nay  | 0              | Abstain     | 0                        |     |

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 8:16 p.m.

Page 6

Trent Harris, Chairman

Attest:

Shaun Alexander, Secretary

## Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report –Item 1 (FP 2021-002) January 26, 2021

## Request to approve the final plat for Tollage 18B to create 5 single family lots.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

A request to approve the final plat for Phase 18B of Tollgate Village to create 5 single family lots located along Americus Drive at the Tollgate Boulevard intersection.



## **ANALYSIS**

The purpose of the final plat is to provide a legal instrument where the transfer of ownership of lots is allowed and shall constitute a way where streets and other infrastructure can be accepted (LDO Section 5.2.7).

Section 18B consists of 5 single family lots. The preliminary plat approval for Section 18 was approved by Planning Commission on March 27, 2018. The setbacks within the D3 district are a maximum of 10 feet for the front yard, 20 feet for the side yard, and 7.5 feet for the rear yard. The lots comply with the LDO minimum standards.

## **Open Space**

All open space is recorded for the Tollgate Village development.

## Sewer

The Tollgate Village development has approval for 943 sewer taps. The taps necessary for this section of the development were accounted for during the preliminary plat approval.

## Sureties

Sureties are required prior to the recordation of any final plat to ensure that all necessary improvements are guaranteed to be installed per approved construction plans.

Improvement to roadways and drainage are required. After an evaluation of this section and the progress of the construction, the Town Engineer recommends that the roads, drainage and erosion control surety should be set at \$146,000.

Improvements to the sewer are required. After an evaluation of the progress of the sewer, the Town Engineer recommends that the sewer surety be set at \$53,000.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends that based upon the consistency of the plat with the Land Development Ordinance the Commission approve the final plat with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to issuance of building permits or occupancy, all necessary sewer connections to the system shall be completed and shall pass any necessary testing.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$146,000 for roadways, drainage and erosion control with automatic renewal.
- 3. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$53,000 for sanitary sewer with automatic renewal.
- 4. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

## Attachments

Final Plat



| CHECKLIST AND TREE PLANTING GUIDELINES HAVE BEEN MET FOR MTEMO. ANY  | COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS  | THOSE ASSIGNED BY DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (II).   | APPROVAL CHECKLIST. TREE PLANTING GUIDELINES AND OTHER  | REQUIREMENTS CONTAINED ON THE MTEMC WEBSITE AT  |   |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| APPROVAL IS AT ALL TIMES CONTINGENT UPON CONTINUING COMPLIANCE WITH<br>THE AFOREMENTIONED REQUIREMENTS.  |  |  | WWW.MTEMC.COM (COLLECTIVELY THE "REQUIREMENTS"). NO I<br>REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN MET AND APPROVED IN WRITING BY A<br>IS, AT ALL TIMES, CONTINGENT UPON CONTINUING COMPLIANCE W   | ELECTRIC SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED UNTIL MTEMC'S<br>IN AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF MTEMC. ANY APPROVAL<br>ITH MTEMC'S REQUIREMENTS.   | FOURTH CIVIL DISTRICT OF WILLIAMSON<br>COUNTY, TENNESSEE  |
| DATE   | DATE   | , 20<br>DATE IT DEPT. TITLE  | TOTAL AREA=34,254 SQ.FT.  | OR 0.79 ACRES±  | JOB NO. 10081 DRAWN BY: AMR<br>WORK ORDER: 1172 DATE: DECEMBER 18, 2020   |
| <b>CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP &amp; DEDICATION</b>   | CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY  | CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF UTILITY SYSTEMS   | CERTIFICATION OF THE APPROVAL OF STREETS  | CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL FOR RECORDING   | FINAL PLAT  |
| I (WE) HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM (WE ARE) THE OWNER(S) OF THE PROPERTY<br>SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON AS EVIDENCED IN BOOK 6403, PAGE 542,<br>R.O.W.C.T., AND THAT I (WE) HEREBY ADOPT THIS PLAN OF SUBDIVISION WITH MY<br>(OUR) FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH THE MINIMUM BUILDING RESTRICTION LINE, AND<br>THAT OFFERS OF IRREVOCABLE DEDICATION FOR ALL PUBLIC STREETS, UTILITIES<br>AND OTHER FACILITIES HAVE BEEN FILED AS REQUIRED BY THESE REGULATIONS. | I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLAN SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON IS A TRUE<br>AND CORRECT SURVEY TO THE ACCURACY REQUIRED BY THE THOMPSON'S<br>STATION, TENNESSEE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AND THAT THE MONUMENTS<br>HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE PLACED AS SHOWN HEREON. TO THE SPECIFICATIONS OF<br>THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, AS APPROVED BY THE TOWN ENGINEER. THIS IS<br>A CATEGORY 1 SURVEY AND THE RATIO OF PRECISION OF THE UNADJUSTED<br>SURVEY IS GREATER THAN 1:10000 AS SHOWN HEREON. | I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOLLOWING UTILITY SYSTEMS OUTLINED OR INDICATED<br>ON THE PLAN SHOWN HEREON HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH<br>CURRENT LOCAL AND/OR STATE GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS OR THAT A SURETY<br>BOND HAS BEEN POSTED WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO ASSURE<br>COMPLETION OF ALL REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS IN CASE OF DEFAULT. ALSO, I<br>CERTIFY THAT THE HYDRAULIC DESIGN CRITERIA SPECIFIED IN SECTION 3-106 OF<br>THE THOMPSON'S STATION SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN MET. | I HEREBY CERTIFY: (1) THAT ALL STREETS DESIGNATED ON THIS FINAL<br>SUBDIVISION PLAT HAVE BEEN INSTALLED IN AN ACCEPTABLE MANNER AND<br>ACCORDING TO REGULATIONS FOR THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE, ROADWAY<br>AND DRAINAGE REGULATIONS, OR (2) THAT A SURETY BOND HAS BEEN POSTED<br>WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO ASSURE COMPLETION TO ASSURE<br>COMPLETION OF ALL REQUIRED IMPROVEMENTS IN CASE OF DEFAULT. | I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE SUBDIVISION PLAT SHOWN HEREON HAS BEEN<br>FOUND TO COMPLY WITH THOMPSON'S STATION SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS<br>WITH THE EXCEPTION OF SUCH VARIANCES, IF ANY, AS ARE NOTED IN THE<br>MINUTES OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND THAT IT HAS BEEN<br>APPROVED FOR RECORDING IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY REGISTER. | TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION<br>PLANNING COMMISSION         NET AREA:       0.79 AC.±       TOTAL LOTS:       5         ACRES NEW ROAD:       0       CIVIL DISTRICT:       4TH         MILES NEW ROAD:       0       OLOSUBE:       SEDDOD 1150001 |
| DATE   | AGAN - SMITH - ASSOCIATES, INC.  | WATER SYSTEM, 20<br>DATE   | , 20<br>Date<br>Town engineer   | DATE  | MILES NEW ROAD:       O       CLOSURE ERROR:       IT130004         OWNER:       MBSC TN HOMEBUILDERS, LLC         SURVEYOR:       RAGAN – SMITH – ASSOCIATES, INC.         0       25'       50'         SCALE:       1"=       50'              |
|  |  |  | <u>I</u>  | 1   | G:\10081-1172\1-SURVEY\PLAT\SECTION 18B\TGV SEC 18B FINAL PLAT.DWG  |

MTEMC AS DEFINED IN ITS RULES AND REGULATIONS, BYLAWS, AND POLICIES, AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLAT APPROVAL CHECKLIST, TREE PLANTING GUIDELINES AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS CONTAINED ON THE MTEMC WEBSITE AT WWW.MTEMC.COM (COLLECTIVELY THE "REQUIREMENTS"). NO ELECTRIC SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED UNTIL MTEMC'S

- 1. THE PURPOSE OF THIS PLAT IS TO CREATE 5 SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL LOTS.
- FLOOD ZONE "X", AS DESIGNATED ON CURRENT FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MAPS NO. 47187C0335F, WITH AN EFFECTIVE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 29, WHICH MAKES UP A PART OF THE NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION REPORT; COMMUNITY NO. 470424, PANEL NO. 0335, SUFFIX F WHICH IS THE CURRENT FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP FOR THE COMMUNITY IN WHICH SAID PREMISES IS SITUATED. SAID MAP DEFINES ZONE "X" UNDER "OTHER AREAS" AS "AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE THE 0.2% ANNUAL CHANCE
- 4. THIS SURVEYOR HAS NOT PHYSICALLY LOCATED THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES. ABOVE GRADE AND UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN WERE TAKEN FROM VISIBLE APPURTENANCES, PUBLIC RECORDS, AND/OR MAPS PREPARED BY OTHERS. THE SURVEYOR MAKES NO GUARANTEE THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN COMPRISE ALL SUCH UTILITIES IN THE AREA, EITHER IN SERVICE OR ABANDONED THE SURVEYOR FURTHER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT THE UNDERGROUND UTILITIES SHOWN ARE IN THE EXACT LOCATION INDICATED. THEREFORE, RELIANCE UPON THE TYPE, SIZE AND LOCATION OF UTILITIES SHOWN SHOULD BE DONE SO WITH THIS CIRCUMSTANCE CONSIDERED. DETAILED VERIFICATION OF EXISTENCE, LOCATION AND DEPTH SHOULD ALSO BE MADE PRIOR TO ANY DECISION RELATIVE THERETO IS MADE AVAILABILITY AND COST OF SERVICE SHOULD BE CONFIRMED WITH THE APPROPRIATE UTILITY COMPANY. IN TENNESSEE, IT IS A REQUIREMENT, PER "THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT", THAT ANYONE WHO ENGAGES IN EXCAVATION MUST NOTIFY ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITY OWNERS NO LESS THAN THREE (3) NOR MORE THAN TEN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THEIR INTENT TO EXCAVATE AND ALSO TO AVOID ANY POSSIBLE HAZARD OR CONFLICT. TENNESSEE
- THE PROPERTY IS CURRENTLY ZONED D3 (HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL). MAXIMUM LOT COVERAGE - 55%. MINIMUM BUILDING SETBACKS PER TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION

| RONT | 10' |
|------|-----|
| EAR  | 20' |
|      | 75' |

- 6. LOTS SHALL NOT HAVE DIRECT ACCESS TO AMERICUS DRIVE. ACCESS TO TOLLGATE BOULEVARD SHALL BE AVAILABLE VIA REAR ALLEY AND THE RECORDED ACCESS
- 7. ALL STREETS ARE DESIGNATED AS PUBLIC AND AS SUCH ARE PUBLIC UTILITY,
- 8. ALL PUBLIC STREETS AND DRAINAGE STRUCTURES WITHIN THE RIGHTS-OF-WAY WILL
- 9. OPEN SPACE AREAS, PUBLIC UTILITY AND DRAINAGE EASEMENTS (INCLUDING DRAINAGE AND DETENTION STRUCTURES), ALLEYS AND ALL LANDSCAPING WITHIN ROADWAY
- 10. DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY INFORMATION SHOWN HEREON WAS TAKEN FROM PLANS PREPARED BY JAMES C. HAILEY & CO. DATED JULY 1, 2019. WATER TO BE
- 11. THE RECORDING OF THIS PLAT VOIDS, VACATES AND SUPERSEDES THE REMAINING PORTION OF LOT 3304 AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL PLAT ENTITLED "TOLLGATE VILLAGE, SECTION 33 (LOTS 3301-3304) AND REVISION TO SECTION 20 (LOT 20.6)" OF RECORD IN PLAT BOOK P60, PAGE 86, REGISTER'S OFFICE FOR WILLIAMSON COUNTY,
- 12. I HEREBY STATE THAT THIS SURVEY WAS DONE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE CURRENT TENNESSEE MINIMUM STANDARDS OF PRACTICE AND THIS IS A CATEGORY I SURVEY AND THE RATIO OF PRECISION OF THE UNADJUSTED SURVEY IS BETTER THAN

# **TOLLGATE VILLAGE RESUBDIVISION OF LOT 3304**

## Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report –Item 2 (FP 2021-004) January 26, 2021

Request to approve the final plat for Littlebury Section 1 to create 13 single family lots, 2 wastewater lots, and 4 open space lots.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

A request to approve the first final plat for Section 1 of Littlebury to create 13 single family lots, 2 wastewater lots, and 4 open space lots located along Littlebury Park Drive and Cherry Jack Lane.



## **ANALYSIS**

The purpose of the final plat is to provide a legal instrument where the transfer of ownership of lots is allowed and shall constitute a way where streets and other infrastructure can be accepted (LDO Section 5.2.7).

Section 1 consists of 13 single family lots and associated open space and wastewater lots. The preliminary plat approval consisted of 91 single family lots and 13 open space lots. The setbacks within the D3 district are a maximum of 10 feet for the front yard, 20 feet for the side yard, and 7.5 feet for the rear yard. The lots comply with the LDO minimum standards.

## **Open Space**

This plat includes the first 4 open space lots of the 13 total within the subdivision.

## Sewer

Sewer is provide per the BOMA approved wastewater system.

## Sureties

Sureties are required prior to the recordation of any final plat to ensure that all necessary improvements are guaranteed to be installed per approved construction plans.

Improvement to roadways and drainage are required. After an evaluation of this section and the progress of the construction, the Town Engineer recommends that the roads, drainage and erosion control surety should be set at \$529,000.

Improvements to the sewer are required. After an evaluation of the progress of the sewer, the Town Engineer recommends that the sewer surety be set at \$838,000.

## Development Agreement

The Development Agreement for the phase was approved and executed on October 21, 2019.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends approval based on conformance with the Land Development Ordinance with the following contingencies:

- 1. Prior to issuance of building permits or occupancy, all necessary sewer connections to the system shall be completed and shall pass any necessary testing.
- 2. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$529,000 for roadways, drainage and erosion control with automatic renewal.
- 3. Prior to recordation of the final plat, a surety shall be submitted to the Town in the amount of \$838,000 for sanitary sewer with automatic renewal.
- 4. As built drawings shall be required for the drainage and sewer system with a letter from the Design Engineer that they are constructed per the approved drawings and functioning as intended.

## Attachments

Final Plat



OWNER/DEVELOPER: LITTLEBURY DEVELOPMENT CO. LLC C/O: MR. TONY CAVENDER P.O. BOX 764 FAIRVIEW, TN 37062

FLOODPLAIN NOTE: NO PORTION OF THIS SITE LIES WITHIN A IOO YEAR FLOOD HAZARD AREA PER F.E.M.A. MAP NO. 47187C0365 F, DATED SEPT. 29, 2006.

LAND DATA: 13 RESIDENTIAL LOTS ON 4.28 ACRES± 4 OPEN SPACE LOTS ON 6.53 ACRES± DEDICATED RIGHT-OF-WAY ON 0.28 ACRES± NEW ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY AREA ON I.48 ACRES± WASTEWATER LOT: 10.28± ACRES TOTAL LAND AREA: 22.58 ACRES± ZONED: DI - LOW INTENSITY RESIDENTIAL

LOT SETBACKS: FRONT: 25' SIDE: IO' REAR: 30'

DEED REFERENCE: TAX MAP 145. A PORTION OF PARCEL 34.11 DEED BOOK 7524, PAGE 482; WILLIAMSON COUNTY REGISTER OF DEEDS.

CONTACTS: MIDDLE TENNESSEE ELEC. MEMBER. CORP.: 2156 EDWARD CURD LANE PHONE: (615) 794-3561 CONTACT: JACOB CAIN / ROGER HIGGENBOTTOM

ENGINEER/SURVEYOR: SITE ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS, INC. 850 MIDDLE TENNESSEE BLVD. MURFREESBORO, TN 37129 PHONE: (615) 890-7901 CONTACT: JAMIE REED

H. B. & T. S. UTILITY DISTRICT (HILLSBORO, BURWOOD & THOMPSON'S STATION) 505 DOWNS BLVD. FRANKLIN. TN 37064 PHONE: (615) 794-7796 CONTACT: CODY LOVETT

ATMOS GAS 810 CRESCENT CENTRE DR. #600 FRANKLIN, TN 37067 PHONE: (615) 771-8300 CONTACT: TIM BROWN

## LEGEND



CERTIFICATE OF OWNERSHIP AND DEDICATION

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ OWNER: \_

I (WE) HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I AM (WE ARE) THE OWNER(S) OF THE PROPERTY SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON AS EVIDENCED IN DEED BOOK 7524, PAGE 482, R.O.W.C., TN., AND THAT I (WE) HEREBY ADOPT THIS PLAN OF SUBDIVISION WITH MY (OUR) FREE CONSENT, ESTABLISH THE MINIMUM BUILDING RESTRICTION LINE, AND THAT OFFERS OF IRREVOCABLE DEDICATION FOR ALL PUBLIC STREETS, UTILITIES, AND OTHER FACILITIES HAVE BEEN FILED AS REQUIRED BY THESE REGULATIONS.

> LITTLEBURY DEVELOPMENT CO. LLC TONY CAVENDER, MEMBER

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_\_ BY: \_\_\_\_\_

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PLAN SHOWN AND DESCRIBED HEREON IS A TRUE AND CORRECT SURVEY TO THE ACCURACY REQUIRED BY THE WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION AND THAT THE MONUMENTS HAVE BEEN OR WILL BE PLACED AS SHOWN HEREON, TO THE SPECIFICATIONS OF THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, AS APPROVED BY THE COUNTY ENGINEER. THE LINEAR ERROR OF CLOSURE IS LESS THAN 1:10,000.

SEC INC. REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR 850 MIDDLE TENNESSEE BLVD. MURFREESBORO, TN 37129 TENNESSEE R.L.S. # (615) 890-7901

CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL OF SUBDIVISION NAME & STREET NAMES

NAMES DENOTED ON THIS FINAL PLAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED BY THE WILLIAMSON COUNTY EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY.

EMA DEPARTMENT

## CERTIFICATE OF APPROVAL FOR ADDRESSES

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ADDRESSES DENOTED ON THIS FINAL PLAT ARE THOSE ASSIGNED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT).

DATE

Date: \_\_\_\_



![](_page_14_Picture_28.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report –Item 3 (PP 2021-001) January 26, 2021

Request to approve the preliminary plat for The Hills, a new subdivision to create 41 single family lots, and 6 open space lot on 225.64 acres located at 1780 Dean Road, with a requested deviation to the Subdivision Regulations.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The proposed subdivision, consisting of 225.64 acres is located within a D1 zone. The site is located at the terminus of Dean Road and is south of Thompson's Station Road West. The subject site is zoned D1 which is intended for low density residential development.

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

The project proposes 41 single family residential lots for a density of less than one unit per acre. Lot widths vary throughout the development; however, all lots meet LDO requirements. Setbacks are identified as a minimum of 25 feet for the front yard and 20 feet for the secondary frontages, 20 feet for the side yard aggregate with a minimum of five feet and 30 feet for the rear yard.

The site requires a buffer 2 (a semi opaque screen) between the adjacent properties to the north and east and the project site to a height of at least 20 feet. The landscaping plan provides for the buffer. No buffer requirement is identified at this time to the south or west, however, the area to the south has slopes of greater than 25% and elevations exceeding 900 feet and will be platted as permanent open space.

Access is provided both onto Dean Road and Evergreen Road.

## **Open Space**

Development of the site includes approximately 102 acres set aside for open space, which will comply with the 45% open space requirement.

## Subdivision Regulations Deviation

The applicant requests a Deviation from the Subdivision Regulation, per Section 5.5.2(d) of the LDO in order to provide a different street cross-section than the standard requirement for local roads in a D1 Zone. The street standard width required for a local road is 50 feet with a sidewalk, curb and gutter on both sides of the road. The applicant requests a deviation to the Subdivision Regulations to request the approval of a street section that excludes the sidewalk, curb and gutters. Instead, they propose a 26-foot-wide paved width with two foot shoulders and a drainage ditch on both sides of the road.

See below for requested cross-section:

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

The LDO states that "if the Planning Commission finds that extraordinary hardships or practical difficulties may result from strict compliance with the subdivision regulations, a deviation from these regulations may be granted provided such deviation shall not have the effect of nullifying the general intent and purpose of these regulations" (Section 5.5.2(d-e)). The Planning Commission may add conditions to the approval of any such Deviation of Standards.

As discussed with the Concept Plan for this development, this site does have steep slopes to contend with for development. A practical difficulty resides in the vision for the area to remain as a low density, rural community. Sidewalks, curbs and gutters are appropriate for a more urban area, with provisions for pedestrian activity being encouraged and supported between uses or within a neighborhood. Sidewalks, curb and gutters are not proposed within the general vicinity of this new subdivision and, therefore, a cross section including the correct right of way width for a local road but contains shoulders and a ditch section is appropriate. Additionally, as noted by the applicant, the Williamson County Department of Sewerage Disposal does not permit the use of curb and gutter for drainage for subdivisions with septic systems. Therefore, if this cross-section is not approved, the applicant will not be in compliance with County Septic requirements. Based on the steep topography of this site, the rural policy for this Sector, and the County septic requirements for open ditches, staff recommends approval of this deviation. As noted, the Planning Commission may add conditions to this approval, if desired.

## **Ridgeline Hilltop Preservation/Slopes**

The site does contain land within the Ridgeline Hilltop Preservation Area (RHPA). Development within this area is prohibited unless a permit is obtained from the Board of Zoning Appeals. However, no development is proposed within the RHPA and all of this area will be designated and platted as part of the open space for the project. The site contains slopes between 15% and 25% that will be developed. All lots with slopes exceeding 15% will be designated as critical lots and will be subject to the requirements set forth for critical lots.

## Woodlands/Trees

The site has several wooded areas. A tree inventory has been submitted as an exhibit to the preliminary plat with a mitigation plan. The plan includes the removal of 767 inches to be removed as part of this development plan.

## Geotechnical

A geotechnical report was submitted with the project. All recommendations identified in the report for site work and development shall be adhered to during the development process.

## Water Resources/Stormwater

The project site has two streams which have been identified in a hydrologic determination report and will be properly managed with a buffer per the Tennessee Department of Conservation (TDEC) regulations. Stormwater detention is proposed on site and will be reviewed further during the construction document review process.

## Traffic

A traffic study is required for any development that creates 500 or greater trips per day, 100 trips or greater during a peak hour or is located along a major arterial. This project generates 453 trips per day, 41 during the AM and 43 during the PM peak hours and, therefore, does not require a traffic study.

## Utilities

HB&TS and MTEMC have provided availability letters for utilities. The applicant shall be responsible for any improvements to water and electric infrastructure to meet the demands of the project. The applicant has approved by the Williamson County Sewage Disposal Management Department for onsite septic systems for each lot.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends approval with the following contingencies:

- 1. Approval of the Deviation from the Subdivision Regulation to the street cross-section, as requested by the applicant.
- 2. The constructions plans and all final plats shall identify all lots with slopes of 15% and up as critical lots.
- 3. The applicant shall set a pre-application meeting with Town Staff prior to the submittal of the constructions plans for this development.
- 4. Prior to the approval of construction plans, the developer shall enter into a development agreement for the project.
- 5. Prior to the approval of construction plans, the developer shall obtain any necessary permits through the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation.
- 6. Prior to the approval of construction plans, all applicable codes and regulations shall be addressed to the satisfaction of the Town Engineer.
- 7. Prior to the submittal of the first final plat for this subdivision, a copy of the CCRs shall be submitted for Town review.
- 8. Any signage proposed for the subdivision shall comply requirements set forth within the Land Development Ordinance and shall be located within the open space and maintained by the homeowner's association.
- 9. Streetlights shall be incorporated in accordance with the Land Development Ordinance and shall be documented on the construction drawings.

# Developer: Ram Ventures William Varney 3577 Creamy Bridge Road Thompson's Station, TN 37179 wpvstock@aol.com

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

Engineer: T-SQUARE ENGINEERING T-SQUARE ENGINEERING Consulting Civil Engineering 701 West Main St., Franklin, TN, 37064 (615)370-1443 \* Email tim@t2-eng.com

Surveyor: ARROWHEAD SURVEYING 4151 OLD HILLSBORO ROAD FRANKLIN, TN 37064

> Topographic Boundary Survey, including property lines, legal description, existing utilities, site topography with spot elevations, outstanding physical features and existing structure locations was provided by the following company:

ARROWHEAD SURVEYING

# PRELIMINARY PLAT FOR DEAN ROAD

1780 DEAN ROAD Map 146 Parcel 39.00 BK 7581, PAGE 679-681 ROWC, TN WILLIAMSON COUNTY, THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **Sheet Schedule**

| C-0.0 | Cover                   |
|-------|-------------------------|
| C-1.0 | Existing Conditions     |
| C-1.1 | Slope Analysis          |
| C-1.2 | Resource Inventory Plan |
| C-2.0 | Preliminary Plat        |
|       |                         |

C-2.1 Line and Curve Tables

![](_page_19_Picture_20.jpeg)

Ŋ

Ľ

C

Ľ

COVEI

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY DOES CONSTRUCTION.
- 2. ALL STREAM BUFFERS SHOV
- 3. ALL STREAMS AND WETLAND DETERMINATION CONDUCTED

|   | EXISTING CONDITIONS     Date:     No.     Date     REVISIONS       1 780 DEAN R0AD     1 1116/20     1 1116/20     REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS       1 780 DEAN R0AD     PAWN BY:     1 1116/20     REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS       MILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE     TSQUARE     1 1116/20     REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS       MILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE     TSGUARE     1 1116/20     REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS       MILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE     TSGUARE     1 1116/20     REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS |
|---|--|
| ES NOT LIE IN STATE PLANE. COMPUTER MODELS NOT TO BE USED FOR<br>WN ARE FROM TOP OF BANK.<br>D SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH HYDROLOGIC<br>ED BY GROW ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, DATED 27 AUGUST, 2019. |  |
| OWNER OF RECORD<br>RAM VENTURES TENN GP<br>DEED BK: 7581 PG: 679-681<br>3577 CREAMERY BRIDGE ROAD<br>THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179<br>APPLICANT<br>T-SQUARE ENGINEERING  | PROJECT  |
| REENGINEERING<br>FRANKLIN, TN • 615-678-8212 • WWW.T2-ENG.COM   | 19-0306<br><u>SHEET</u><br>C-1.0   |

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

RAM VENTURES TENN GP DEED BK: 7581 PG: 679-681 3577 CREAMERY BRIDGE ROAD THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179 APPLICANT T-SQUARE ENGINEERING 1329 WEST MAIN ST FRANKLIN, TN 37064 615.678.8212

OWNER OF RECORD

12/18/20 PROJECT 19-0306 SHEET

C-1.1

- 4. ANY LOT THAT EXCEEDS 15% SLOPE SHALL BE DESIGNATED AS A CRITICAL LOT. 5. SLOPES EXCEEDING 25% MAY BE COUNTED TOWARD OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENTS.
- 3. DEVELOPMENT ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 25% IS PROHIBITED.
- 2. STEEP SLOPE DEVELOPMENT IS GOVERNED BY THOMPSON'S STATION ZONING ORDINANCE, SECTION 3.3.7.
- 1. ALL STREAM BUFFERS SHOWN ARE FROM TOP OF BANK.

GENERAL NOTES:

|     | -(     |              |     |
|-----|--------|--------------|-----|
|     | GRAPI  |              |     |
| 200 | 0      | 100 200      | 400 |
|     |        |              |     |
|     | Scal   | e: 1" = 200' |     |
|     | LE     | GEND         |     |
|     | 0-15%  |              |     |
|     | 15-25% |              |     |
|     | 25+%   |              |     |
|     | L      |              |     |

| DATE REVISIONS | 11/16/20 REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS |                  |                |                              |     |                     |   |  |
|----------------|--|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----|---------------------|---|--|
| D<br>Z         | -                                      |                  |                |                              |     | ſ                   | Π |  |
| 0-1-2020       | SCALE:                                 |                  | T-SQUARE       | REVIEWER:                    | ТЕТ | -                   |   |  |
|                | SLOPE ANALYSIS                         |                  | 1780 DEAN ROAD | WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE |     |                     |   |  |
|                | -                                      | Line N/ Coccooct |                |                              |     | Annual R S ATT Chil |   |  |

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

|  |   | Image: constraint of the second s | DATE:     ND.     DATE       9-1-2020     1     11/16/20       SCALE:     1     11/16/20 | DRAWN BY:<br>T-SQUARE<br>REVIEWER:<br>TET  |                     |
|--|---|---|--|--|---------------------|
| 3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3<br>3 | GENERAL NOTES:         1.       THIS PROPERTY HAS BEEN REVIEW<br>STREAMS. STREAMS THAT WOULD<br>4 OF THE WILLIAMSON COUNTY ST<br>SHOWN.         2.       THIS SITE HAS BEEN REVIEWED FO<br>SLIPPAGE SOILS, AND KARST FEAT<br>BEEN NOTED ON THE RESOURCE F         3.       ALL STREAM BUFFERS SHOWN ARI         4.       RESOURCE MANAGEMENT TO BE O<br>3.3.         5.       ALL FLOODPLAINS, WETLANDS, WO<br>SINKHOLES HILLTOPS RIDGELINE   | VED FOR THE EXISTENCE OF INTERMITTENT AND PERENNIAL<br>REQUIRE WATERWAY NATURAL AREAS AS DESCRIBED IN SECTION<br>ORM WATER MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS HAVE BEEN LOCATED AS<br>OR THE PRESENCE OF STEEP SLOPES, HILLTOPS, AND RIDGETOPS,<br>TURES. AREAS FALLING WITHIN THESE CATEGORIES, IF ANY, HAVE<br>PROTECTION PLAN.<br>E FROM TOP OF BANK.<br>SOVERNED BY THOMPSON'S STATION ZONING ORDINANCE, SECTION<br>DODLANDS, DRAINAGE WAYS, STEEP SLOPES, SLIPPAGE SOILS,<br>S, HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL FEATURES ARE TO BE PERSERVED   | RESOURCE INVENTORY MAP   | 1780 DEAN ROAD<br>WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE   |                     |
|  | <ul> <li>SINKHOLES, HILLTOPS, RIDGELINE<br/>DURING THIS DEVELOPMENT. ANY<br/>RESOURCE INVENTORY MAP. SEE</li> <li>ALL STREAMS AND WETLAND SHOW<br/>DETERMINATION CONDUCTED BY (2000)</li> <li>MURFREES FORK IS DESIGNATED A<br/>THE TOP OF BANK. THE REMAINING<br/>BANK. WITHIN THE WATERWAY NA<br/>CONSTRUCTION OR DISTURBANCE<br/>COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPARTME</li> <li>ALL OF THIS PROPERTY IS WITHIN<br/>COMMUNITY PANEL NUMBER 47187</li> <li>SEE L-1.0 LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR T</li> </ul> | S, HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL FEATURES ARE TO BE PRESERVED<br>FEATURE THAT PERTAINS TO THIS SITE HAS BEEN SHOWN ON THIS<br>C-1.5 FOR STEEP SLOPE ANALYSIS.<br>WN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE HYDROLOGIC<br>GROW ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS, DATED 27 AUGUST, 2019.<br>AT IMPAIRED WATERS. A 60' BUFFER HAS BEEN PROVIDED FROM<br>S UNNAMED TRIBUTARIES INCLUDE A 30' BUFFER FROM THE TOP OF<br>TURAL AREA, THERE SHALL BE NO CLEARING, GRADING,<br>OF VEGETATION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY THE WILLIAMSON<br>ENT.<br>ZONE 'X' OF THE PRELIMINARY FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP<br>7C0345F, EFFECTIVE DATE SEPTEMBER 29, 2006.<br>REE REPLACEMENT PLAN.  |  | AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICUTUR<br>AGRICU |                     |
| T-SQUAR  | EENGI<br>RANKLIN, TN • 615  | I-SQUARE ENGINEERING<br>1329 WEST MAIN ST<br>FRANKLIN, TN 37064<br>615.678.8212   |  | 19-03<br><u>SHEE</u><br>C-1.2  | Об<br><u>т</u><br>2 |

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

| <b>GR</b> A<br>200 0                   | APHIC SCALE<br>(IN FEET)<br>100 200 400<br>Scale: 1" = 200' |
|--|---|
| LE                                     | GEND  |
|  | EXISTING PROPERTY LINE                                      |
|  | EXISTING MAJOR CONTOURS                                     |
|  | EXISTING MINOR CONTOURS                                     |
| OHP                                    | EXISTING OVERHEAD ELECTRIC                                  |
|  | EXISTING TREES & VEGETATION                                 |
|  | PROPOSED PROPERTY LINE                                      |
|  | PROPOSED PROPERTY SETBACK                                   |
| —————————————————————————————————————— | PROPOSED WATER LINE   |
| +                                      | PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT ASSEMBLY                              |
|  | PROPOSED 20' LANDSCAPE BUFFER                               |

|   | SITE DATA   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
|   | EXISTING  | PROVIDED                                 |  |  |
| TOTAL PROPERTY ACREAGE  | 225.64 AC   | 225.64 AC                                |  |  |
| ZONING  | D1 (RESIDENTIAL) LOW<br>DENSITY-SUBURBAN            | D1 (RESIDENTIAL) LOW<br>DENSITY-SUBURBAN |  |  |
| MINIMUM LOT SIZE  | N/A   | 1.0 AC                                   |  |  |
| NUMBER OF LOTS  | 0   | 41                                       |  |  |
|   | REQUIRED  | PROVIDED                                 |  |  |
| PROPERTY AREA USE   |   |  |  |  |
| OPEN SPACE  | 101.54 AC (45%)                                     | 102.04 AC (45%)                          |  |  |
| IMPERVIOUS AREA   | 0.23 AC (0.10%)                                     | 4.60 AC (2.04%)                          |  |  |
| BUILDING SETBACKS   |   |  |  |  |
| PRIMARY FRONTAGE  | 25' MINIMUM   | 25' MINIMUM                              |  |  |
| SECONDARY FRONTAGE  | 20' MINIMUM   | 20' MINIMUM                              |  |  |
| SIDE LOT LINE AGGREGATE   | 20' (5' MINIMUM)                                    | 20' (5' MINIMUM)                         |  |  |
| REAR LOT LINE   | 30' MINIMUM   | 30' MINIMUM                              |  |  |
| BUILDING HEIGHT   | 3 STORIES   | 3 STORIES                                |  |  |
| LOT COVERAGE  | 55% MAXIMUM   | 55% MAXIMUM                              |  |  |
| DENSITY (UNITS PER ACRE)  | 1.00  | 1.00                                     |  |  |
| ACCESS DRIVE WIDTH TO<br>SETBACK  | 12' MAXIMUM   | 12' MAXIMUM                              |  |  |
| LOT WIDTH   | 85' MINIMUM   | 85' MINIMUM                              |  |  |
| MAX. BLOCK FACE LENGTH  | 1200'   | 1200'                                    |  |  |
| FLOOD NOTE  |   |  |  |  |
| ALL OF THIS PROPERTY IS WITHIN<br>INSURANCE RATE MAP COMMUNITY<br>SEPTEMBER 29, 2006. | FLOOD ZONE "X" OF THE PR<br>Y PANEL NUMBER 47187C03 | ELIMINARY FLOOD<br>45F, EFFECTIVE DATE   |  |  |

| Parcel Area Table |           |       |  |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|--|
| ARCEL             | SQ. FT.   | ACRES |  |  |  |
| 1*^               | 126686.92 | 2.91  |  |  |  |
| 2*^               | 103083.91 | 2.37  |  |  |  |
| 3*^               | 134541.13 | 3.09  |  |  |  |
| 4*^               | 130407.97 | 2.99  |  |  |  |
| 5*^               | 137093.72 | 3.15  |  |  |  |
| 6*^               | 133958.91 | 3.08  |  |  |  |
| 7*^               | 195052.11 | 4.48  |  |  |  |
| 8*^               | 222036.59 | 5.10  |  |  |  |
| 9*                | 131004.16 | 3.01  |  |  |  |
| 10*               | 177635.50 | 4.08  |  |  |  |
| 11*               | 142555.82 | 3.27  |  |  |  |
| 12*               | 116105.98 | 2.67  |  |  |  |
| 14*^              | 189943.77 | 4.36  |  |  |  |
| 15*^              | 189775.42 | 4.36  |  |  |  |

| Pare   | Parcel Area Table |       |  |  |  |  |
|--------|-------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| PARCEL | SQ. FT.           | ACRES |  |  |  |  |
| 16*^   | 163169.38         | 3.75  |  |  |  |  |
| 17*^   | 191747.00         | 4.40  |  |  |  |  |
| 18*^   | 216651.82         | 4.97  |  |  |  |  |
| 19*    | 144458.49         | 3.32  |  |  |  |  |
| 20     | 155486.02         | 3.57  |  |  |  |  |
| 21     | 65304.45          | 1.50  |  |  |  |  |
| 22     | 54535.68          | 1.25  |  |  |  |  |
| 23*    | 59742.29          | 1.37  |  |  |  |  |
| 24*^   | 337382.67         | 7.75  |  |  |  |  |
| 25*^   | 178781.76         | 4.10  |  |  |  |  |
| 26*^   | 97210.42          | 2.23  |  |  |  |  |
| 27*^   | 73258.48          | 1.68  |  |  |  |  |
| 28*^   | 74081.69          | 1.70  |  |  |  |  |
| 29*^   | 44019.45          | 1.01  |  |  |  |  |

| Parcel Area Table |           |       |  |  |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|--|--|
| PARCEL            | SQ. FT.   | ACRES |  |  |
| 30^               | 43935.36  | 1.01  |  |  |
| 31                | 47753.53  | 1.10  |  |  |
| 32                | 43900.25  | 1.01  |  |  |
| 33                | 43907.84  | 1.01  |  |  |
| 34                | 48985.05  | 1.12  |  |  |
| 35                | 81144.88  | 1.86  |  |  |
| 36                | 164920.67 | 3.79  |  |  |
| 37                | 170035.29 | 3.90  |  |  |
| 38                | 78619.52  | 1.80  |  |  |
| 39                | 49869.75  | 1.14  |  |  |
| 40                | 50361.22  | 1.16  |  |  |
| 41                | 92450.60  | 2.12  |  |  |

OWNER OF RECORD

RAM VENTURES TENN GP DEED BK: 7581 PG: 679-681 3577 CREAMERY BRIDGE ROAD THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179

APPLICANT

| Par   | cel Area Tal | ole   | Paro   | cel Area Ta | ble   |
|-------|--------------|-------|--------|-------------|-------|
| ARCEL | SQ. FT.      | ACRES | PARCEL | SQ. FT.     | ACRES |
| OS: 1 | 359012.73    | 8.24  | OS: 4  | 244361.91   | 5.61  |
| OS: 2 | 284103.71    | 6.52  | OS: 5  | 488782.52   | 11.22 |
| OS: 3 | 2901254.97   | 66.60 | OS: 6  | 175549.41   | 4.03  |
|       |              |       |        |             |       |

|               |                              |                   |        |        |                               | ٦ |
|---------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|---|
|               |                              | DATE:<br>9-1-2020 | D<br>Z | DATE   | REVISIONS                     |   |
|               |                              |                   | . 1 1  | /16/20 | REVISIONS PER COUNTY COMMENTS |   |
|               |                              | SCALE:            |        |        |                               |   |
| F             |                              |                   |        |        |                               |   |
| <b>&gt;</b> F |                              | DRAWN BY:         |        |        |                               |   |
| <b>२</b> ।    |                              | T-SUIJARF         |        |        |                               |   |
|               |                              |                   |        |        |                               |   |
| J             | WILLIAMSUN GUUNIY, IENNESSEE | REVIEWER:         |        |        |                               |   |
| E             |                              | ТЕТ               |        |        |                               |   |
|               |                              |                   |        |        |                               |   |
| г             |                              |                   | ſ      |        |                               |   |
|               |                              |                   | Π      |        |                               |   |
|               |                              |                   |        |        |                               |   |

19-0306

SHEET

C-2.0

![](_page_23_Picture_9.jpeg)

|        | Parcel L | ine Table        |
|--------|----------|------------------|
| Line # | Length   | Direction        |
| L1     | 182.72   | S7° 32' 25.01"W  |
| L2     | 241.64   | S82° 27' 34.99"E |
| L3     | 11.39    | N16° 17' 33.06"E |
| L4     | 127.63   | N16° 17' 33.06"E |
| L5     | 59.42    | S8° 26' 03.46"W  |
| L6     | 535.02   | N81° 33' 56.54"W |
| L7     | 152.76   | S35° 30' 04.53"E |
| L8     | 455.39   | S89° 08' 28.66"W |
| L9     | 29.56    | N35° 30' 04.53"W |
| L10    | 6.69     | N10° 30' 59.34"W |
| L11    | 324.51   | S89° 33' 20.51"E |
| L12    | 520.91   | N25° 03' 42.93"E |
| L13    | 201.06   | S3° 23' 04.13"W  |
| L14    | 140.18   | S17° 29' 23.35"W |
| L15    | 143.79   | S17° 29' 23.35"W |
| L16    | 205.85   | N81° 33' 56.54"W |
| L17    | 112.11   | S42° 59' 09.42"E |
| L18    | 653.99   | S41° 11' 30.54"W |
| L19    | 218.36   | N81° 33' 56.54"W |
| L20    | 142.20   | S42° 59' 09.42"E |
| L21    | 536.76   | S51° 04' 55.84"W |
| L22    | 274.00   | S20° 50' 40.77"W |
| L23    | 103.71   | N81° 33' 56.54"W |
| L24    | 436.34   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L25    | 518.08   | S55° 39' 22.57"W |
| L26    | 530.52   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L27    | 211.69   | S55° 39' 22.57"W |
| L28    | 86.93    | N25° 49' 02.59"W |
| L29    | 242.81   | N3° 44' 43.44"W  |
| L30    | 152.60   | S89° 48' 06.52"W |
| L31    | 106.90   | S89° 48' 06.52"W |
| L32    | 187.77   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L33    | 86.32    | S16° 17' 33.06"W |
| L34    | 237.38   | N87° 12' 39.05"W |
| L35    | 188.75   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L36    | 230.51   | S82° 27' 34.99"E |
| L37    | 145.66   | S16° 17' 33.06"W |
| L38    | 292.76   | N77° 43' 59.75"W |
| L39    | 148.65   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L41    | 157.94   | N78° 44' 50.91"W |
| L42    | 157.42   | N75° 40' 49.08"W |
| L43    | 29.43    | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L44    | 143.36   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L45    | 317.01   | N65° 56' 00.42"W |
| L46    | 203.38   | N7° 32' 25.01"E  |
| L47    | 102.05   | N37° 27' 16.80"W |
| L48    | 408.22   | NOT 51' 16.19"W  |
| L49    | 145.76   | N7 32 25.01"E    |
|        | 120.40   | 57 32 25.01"W    |
|        | 407.18   | S32° 24' 07 20"  |
| LJZ    | 221.00   | 002 21 UI.30 E   |

|        | Parcel L | ine Table        |  |  |
|--------|----------|------------------|--|--|
| Line # | Length   | Direction        |  |  |
| L53    | 175.04   | N6° 09' 52.47"W  |  |  |
| L54    | 222.88   | N43° 29' 24.43"W |  |  |
| L55    | 164.54   | N68° 08' 47.37"W |  |  |
| L56    | 245.25   | N68° 19' 24.54"W |  |  |
| L57    | 27.29    | S54° 17' 07.76"W |  |  |
| L58    | 33.95    | S54° 13' 09.75"W |  |  |
| L59    | 134.60   | N47° 33' 06.40"W |  |  |
| L60    | 307.35   | N20° 08' 53.96"E |  |  |
| L61    | 426.87   | N69° 41' 12.05"E |  |  |
| L62    | 66.56    | S81° 41' 04.00"E |  |  |
| L63    | 168.98   | S31° 39' 00.18"E |  |  |
| L65    | 9.05     | S56° 45' 45.30"W |  |  |
| L67    | 315.84   | N80° 12' 02.99"E |  |  |
| L70    | 198.05   | N4° 53' 00.70"E  |  |  |
| L71    | 507.21   | N7° 38' 21.55"W  |  |  |
| L72    | 28.54    | N68° 28' 22.81"W |  |  |
| L80    | 227.05   | S16° 17' 33.06"W |  |  |
| L81    | 67.11    | N17° 56' 34.91"W |  |  |
| L82    | 71.11    | N14° 41' 09.34"W |  |  |
| L83    | 93.41    | N7° 40' 11.81"E  |  |  |
| L84    | 244.21   | N70° 13' 07.89"W |  |  |
| L85    | 153.40   | S16° 17' 33.06"W |  |  |
| L86    | 78.97    | N9° 23' 07.07"W  |  |  |
| L87    | 63.80    | N74° 17' 33.61"W |  |  |
| L88    | 332.14   | N74° 17' 33.61"W |  |  |
| L89    | 115.06   | N0° 55' 07.47"W  |  |  |
| L90    | 445.48   | N89° 22' 48.30"W |  |  |
| L91    | 37.24    | N83° 25' 33.98"E |  |  |
| L92    | 238.49   | N42° 55' 15.90"W |  |  |
| L93    | 418.28   | N83° 25' 33.98"E |  |  |
| L94    | 264.46   | S1° 16' 08.31"W  |  |  |
| L95    | 189.95   | S20° 24' 57.87"E |  |  |
| L96    | 148.95   | S27° 13' 00.72"W |  |  |
| L97    | 261.55   | S89° 39' 09.44"E |  |  |
| L98    | 101.54   | N72° 51' 50.30"E |  |  |
| L99    | 187.87   | S26° 40' 18.11"E |  |  |
| L100   | 86.20    | S43° 38' 36.54"W |  |  |
| L101   | 215.98   | N18° 55' 21.70"W |  |  |
| L102   | 819.04   | N4° 17' 00.99"W  |  |  |
| L103   | 597.52   | N54° 17' 07.76"E |  |  |
| L104   | 60.42    | S50° 56' 14.91"E |  |  |
| L105   | 44.84    | S36° 41' 47.66"E |  |  |
| L106   | 322.47   | S55° 16' 06.52"W |  |  |
| L107   | 753.50   | S4° 17' 00.99"E  |  |  |
| L108   | 402.97   | S80° 16' 53.92"E |  |  |
| L109   | 288.57   | N32° 05' 07.21"W |  |  |
| L110   | 297.48   | S80° 02' 15.73"E |  |  |
| L111   | 351.15   | N34° 14' 43.45"W |  |  |
| L112   | 289.79   | S55° 16' 06.52"W |  |  |
| L113   | 403.62   | S4° 26' 34.24"E  |  |  |
| L114   | 424.28   | S8° 47' 58.33"W  |  |  |

|        | Parcel L        | ine Table          |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Line # | Length          | Direction          |
| L115   | 30.00           | N12° 16' 37.97"E   |
| L116   | 254.88          | N80° 44' 11.09"W   |
| L117   | 349.60          | N7° 36' 50.10"E    |
| L118   | 212.35          | N12° 16' 37.97"E   |
| L119   | 252.50          | S77° 43' 22.03"E   |
| L120   | 308.32          | N4° 45' 58.79"W    |
| L121   | 228.63          | N52° 33' 20.92"W   |
| L122   | 239.68          | N84° 10' 53.76"W   |
| L123   | 110.62          | N7° 36' 50.10"E    |
| L124   | 279.44          | S86° 21' 38.38"E   |
| L125   | 34.79           | N66° 56' 59.56"E   |
| L126   | 351.28          | S34° 02' 10.63"E   |
| L127   | 352.34          | S4° 45' 58.79"E    |
| L128   | 229.85          | S2° 53' 38.52"E    |
| L129   | 169.12          | S88° 27' 10.15"W   |
| L130   | 133.12          | N1° 32' 49.85"W    |
| L131   | 57.35           | N34° 12' 14.45"E   |
| L132   | 432.02          | S73° 20' 54.04"E   |
| L133   | 166.67          | S2° 53' 38.52"E    |
| L134   | 224.91          | S42° 16' 03.03"W   |
| L135   | 25.14           | N52° 24' 00.52"W   |
| 1 136  | 697 54          | N62° 15' 23 28"F   |
| 1 138  | 216.62          | N52° 24' 00 52"W   |
| 1 139  | 510.02          | N31° 37' 45 73"E   |
| 1 140  | 272 51          | S41° 44' 53 30"E   |
| L140   | 364.39          | N78° 42' 57.93"W   |
| L142   | 457.02          | S37° 21' 53.21"W   |
| L143   | 45.86           | S52° 24' 00.52"E   |
| L144   | 175.78          | N78° 42' 57.93"W   |
| L145   | 660.99          | S47° 48' 40.42"W   |
| L146   | 163.24          | S30° 02' 09.56"E   |
| 1 147  | 8 24            | S36° 33' 45 14"E   |
| 1 148  | 316 64          | S5° 18' 17 31"W    |
| 1 1/10 | 256.84          | S6° 57' 09 52"W    |
| 1 150  | 82 11           | S80° 00' 55 25"\\/ |
| 1 151  | 75 16           | N14° 33' 39 82"W   |
| 1 152  | 1/2/13          | S86° 26' 40 94"\W  |
| 1 152  | 274 20          | N58° 40' 27 31"E   |
| 1 154  | 66 33           | S83° 0/' 18 39"E   |
| 1 155  | 333.80          | N40° 56' 22 07"E   |
| 1 156  | 30.70           | N49 30 22.07 L     |
| 1 157  | 04.68           | S50° 32' 50 68"\// |
| 1 150  | 34.00<br>254.04 | N81° 41' 04 00"    |
| 1 150  | 10 20           | S21° 11' 22 70"\A  |
| L 109  | 10.29           | 521 41 23./9"W     |
|        | 302.90          | 302 UT 39.31"E     |
| L161   | 151.64          | 580° 34' 05.20"E   |
| L162   | 200.79          | S80° 34' 05.20"E   |
| L163   | 570.18          | N45° 23' 31.71"E   |
| L164   | 66.89           | N52° 24' 00.52"W   |
| L165   | 308.06          | S36° 41' 04.00"E   |
| L166   | 196.89          | S36° 41' 04.00"E   |

|        | Damaslı  | in e Teble  |
|--------|----------|-------------|
|        | Parcel L | ine ladie   |
| Line # | Length   | Direction   |
| L167   | 456.17   | N39° 55' 57 |
| L168   | 139.21   | N86° 27' 28 |
| L169   | 125.66   | N30° 02' 09 |
| L170   | 220.74   | N52° 24' 00 |
| L171   | 117.80   | S37° 59' 23 |
| L172   | 415.03   | N55° 01' 12 |
| L173   | 300.62   | S22° 42' 57 |
| L174   | 65.83    | S31° 37' 20 |
| L175   | 100.64   | S37° 59' 23 |
| L176   | 128.24   | S58° 18' 47 |
| L177   | 458.04   | N36° 41' 04 |
| L178   | 219.03   | N4° 31' 11  |
| L179   | 107.32   | S43° 38' 36 |
| L180   | 310.01   | S46° 27' 21 |
| L181   | 100.20   | S88° 27' 10 |
| L182   | 310.01   | S46° 27' 21 |
| L183   | 181.37   | N81° 25' 45 |
| L184   | 181.10   | S15° 44' 13 |
| L185   | 208.93   | N84° 54' 53 |
| L186   | 8.43     | N10° 30' 59 |
| L187   | 210.56   | S8° 13' 16  |
| L188   | 182.57   | N35° 30' 04 |
| L189   | 458.77   | S84° 54' 53 |
| L190   | 747.43   | S82° 01' 39 |
| L191   | 187.71   | N21° 41' 23 |
| L192   | 475.94   | N79° 58' 40 |
| L193   | 53.96    | N79° 58' 40 |
| L194   | 95.82    | S33° 58' 57 |
| L195   | 223.35   | N88° 45' 30 |
| L196   | 54.56    | S8° 26' 03. |
| L197   | 518.49   | S14° 49' 52 |
| L198   | 139.32   | S1° 28' 38  |
| L199   | 122.80   | S78° 12' 02 |
| L200   | 239.37   | N45° 28' 24 |
| L201   | 7.21     | S16° 17' 33 |
| L202   | 28.07    | N52° 59' 14 |
| L203   | 125.00   | S34° 36' 08 |
| L204   | 445.04   | S16° 57' 25 |
| L205   | 74.01    | N79° 51' 36 |
| L206   | 99.91    | N53° 06' 16 |
| L207   | 417.67   | N3° 15' 28  |
| L208   | 209.42   | N5° 18' 17  |
| L209   | 351.22   | N17° 35' 15 |
| L211   | 134.60   | N47° 33' 06 |
| L212   | 91.23    | S56° 45' 45 |
| L214   | 256 63   | N39° 39' 03 |
| L215   | 161.07   | S41° 44' 53 |
|        |          |             |
|        |          |             |

| Direction         |
|-------------------|
| N39° 55' 57.42"E  |
| N86° 27' 28.82"E  |
| 130° 02' 09.56"W  |
| 152° 24' 00.52"W  |
| S37° 59' 23.69"E  |
| N55° 01' 12.87"E  |
| 622° 42' 57.81"W  |
| S31° 37' 20.04"E  |
| S37° 59' 23.69"E  |
| 58° 18' 47 85"W   |
| 136° 41' 04 00"W  |
| N4° 31' 11 59"E   |
| 243° 38' 36 54"\\ |
|                   |
| 200° 27 40 45 WA  |
| 21°10.15°W        |
| 546° 27' 21.47"E  |
| N81° 25' 45.27"E  |
| S15° 44' 13.12"E  |
| 184° 54' 53.15"W  |
| 110° 30' 59.34"W  |
| S8° 13' 16.74"E   |
| 135° 30' 04.53"W  |
| S84° 54' 53.15"E  |
| S82° 01' 39.31"E  |
| N21° 41' 23.79"E  |
| 179° 58' 40.72"W  |
| 179° 58' 40.72"W  |
| 33° 58' 57.42"W   |
| 188° 45' 30.55"W  |
| S8° 26' 03.46"W   |
| S14° 49' 52.05"E  |
| S1° 28' 38.71"E   |
| S78° 12' 02.95"E  |
| N45° 28' 24.08"E  |
| \$16° 17' 33.06"W |
| N52° 59' 14 23"F  |
| 534° 36' 08 14"F  |
| S16° 57' 25 11"\W |
| 179° 51' 26 67"⊏  |
| 152° 06' 16 57"   |
| NOS UU 10.37 E    |
| NS 15 28.12"E     |
| N5° 18' 17.31"E   |
| N17° 35' 15.78"E  |
| 147° 33' 06.40"W  |
| 856° 45' 45.30"W  |
| N39° 39' 03.09"E  |
| S41° 44' 53.30"E  |

|         |        | C       | urve Ta | able            |              |
|---------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| Curve # | Length | Radius  | Delta   | Chord Direction | Chord Length |
| C1      | 39.36  | 26.71   | 84.45   | N61° 20' 57"E   | 35.90        |
| C2      | 172.53 | 225.00  | 43.94   | S13° 32' 01"E   | 168.34       |
| C3      | 141.72 | 325.00  | 24.98   | N23° 00' 32"W   | 140.60       |
| C4      | 44.70  | 30.00   | 85.37   | N53° 12' 03"W   | 40.68        |
| C5      | 208.87 | 540.00  | 22.16   | N84° 48' 15"W   | 207.57       |
| C6      | 85.88  | 540.00  | 9.11    | S69° 10' 03"E   | 85.79        |
| C7      | 75.27  | 540.00  | 7.99    | S47° 09' 14"E   | 75.21        |
| C8      | 55.77  | 525.00  | 6.09    | S39° 52' 41"E   | 55.74        |
| C9      | 40.01  | 525.00  | 4.37    | S34° 39' 06"E   | 40.00        |
| C10     | 217.00 | 525.00  | 23.68   | S20° 37' 38"E   | 215.46       |
| C11     | 174.52 | 525.00  | 19.05   | S0° 44' 13"W    | 173.72       |
| C12     | 55.26  | 709.64  | 4.46    | S13° 16' 35"W   | 55.25        |
| C13     | 43.09  | 25.00   | 98.75   | S33° 05' 01"E   | 37.95        |
| C14     | 51.99  | 75.00   | 39.72   | S3° 33' 54"E    | 50.95        |
| C16     | 94.46  | 55.00   | 98.40   | S84° 57' 37"W   | 83.27        |
| C19     | 26.91  | 55.00   | 28.04   | S31° 49' 16"E   | 26.65        |
| C20     | 70.85  | 55.00   | 73.81   | S19° 06' 09"W   | 66.05        |
| C24     | 34.09  | 475.00  | 4.11    | S14° 14' 10"W   | 34.09        |
| C25     | 173.05 | 475.00  | 20.87   | S1° 44' 36"W    | 172.09       |
| C26     | 160.14 | 510.43  | 17.98   | N50° 51' 51"W   | 159.48       |
| C27     | 283.68 | 479.46  | 33.90   | N25° 48' 26"W   | 279.56       |
| C28     | 533.16 | 490.00  | 62.34   | S74° 48' 53"W   | 507.24       |
| C29     | 185.43 | 325.03  | 32.69   | S72° 26' 14"W   | 182.92       |
| C30     | 18.67  | 288.00  | 3.72    | N14° 08' 05"E   | 18.67        |
| C31     | 223.92 | 1225.00 | 10.47   | N86° 18' 38"W   | 223.61       |
| C32     | 194.68 | 311.99  | 35.75   | N16° 19' 42"E   | 191.54       |
| C33     | 91.54  | 288.00  | 18.21   | N25° 05' 53"E   | 91.16        |
| C34     | 490.20 | 1225.00 | 22.93   | N63° 51' 50"W   | 486.94       |
| C35     | 165.89 | 425.00  | 22.36   | S41° 13' 05"E   | 164.84       |
| C36     | 172.34 | 125.00  | 78.99   | N54° 03' 29"W   | 159.01       |
| C37     | 19.11  | 75.00   | 14.60   | N86° 15' 27"W   | 19.05        |
| C38     | 125.38 | 175.00  | 41.05   | N58° 26' 08"W   | 122.71       |
| C39     | 101.64 | 222.33  | 26.19   | N47° 32' 24"W   | 100.75       |

|         |        | C       | urve T | able            |
|---------|--------|---------|--------|-----------------|
| Curve # | Length | Radius  | Delta  | Chord Direction |
| C40     | 146.37 | 375.00  | 22.36  | N41° 13' 05"W   |
| C41     | 255.85 | 1175.00 | 12.48  | N58° 38' 17"W   |
| C42     | 401.30 | 1175.00 | 19.57  | S74° 39' 38"E   |
| C44     | 279.98 | 540.89  | 29.66  | N57° 37' 03"E   |
| C45     | 119.67 | 275.00  | 24.93  | N22° 58' 57"W   |
| C46     | 43.74  | 30.00   | 83.54  | N31° 15' 14"E   |
| C47     | 5.49   | 613.92  | 0.51   | N72° 44' 00"E   |
| C48     | 176.35 | 275.00  | 36.74  | N17° 07' 48"W   |
| C49     | 34.52  | 275.00  | 7.19   | S4° 50' 16"W    |
| C50     | 215.07 | 275.00  | 44.81  | S66° 02' 53"W   |
| C52     | 86.28  | 310.95  | 15.90  | N79° 52' 31"E   |
| C54     | 82.68  | 310.95  | 15.23  | S64° 18' 33"W   |

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

| Chuot Lengh         146 45         145 5         589 35         270.66         113 72         39.97         5.48         173.36         34.50         200.63         86.00         82.43  | LINE AND CURVE TABLES<br>LINE AND CURVE TABLES<br>1 780 DEAN ROAD<br>1 780 DEAN ROAD<br>MILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE<br>MILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE<br>TET<br>TET<br>THE HILLS |  |
|---|---|--|
| OWNER OF RECORD<br>RAM VENTURES TENN GP<br>DEED BK: 7581 PG: 679-681<br>3577 CREAMERY BRIDGE ROAD<br>THOMPSON'S STATION, TN 37179<br>APPLICANT<br>T-SQUARE ENGINEERING<br>1329 WEST MAIN ST<br>FRANKLIN, TN 37064<br>615.678.8212 | AGRICUTOR<br>AGRICUTOR<br>OF TENNER<br>12/18/20<br>PROJECT<br>19-0306   |  |

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

| Key   | Size   | Species   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| 1   | 32"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 2   | 36"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 3   | 36"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 1   | 26"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 5   | 24"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 3   | 24"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 7   | 30"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 3   | 24"  | ELM   |   |
| 9   | 24"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 10  | 30"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 11  | 32"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 12  | 50"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 13  | 50"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 14  | 50"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 15  | 24"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 16  | 24"  | ELM   |   |
| 17  | 26"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 18  | 26"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 19  | 26"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 20  | 25"  | MAPLE   |   |
| 21  | 24"  | MAPLE   |   |
| 22  | 36"  | OAK   |   |
| 23  | 30"  | OSAGE ORANGE  |   |
| 24  | 34"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| 25  | 24"  | HACKBERRY   |   |
| Total S<br>Total C<br>Total C<br>Require<br>Replace | pecimen Trees<br>aliper Inches o<br>aliper Inches o<br>d Replacemer<br>ement Trees P | to be Removed=<br>f Trees to be Removed=<br>f Replacement Requirement 1.5:1 =<br>nt Trees (3" cal) =<br>rovided = | 25<br>767 inches<br>1,151 inches<br>384 trees<br>30,600 trees * |

\* The applicant is requesting existing vegetation to be preserved satisfy tree replacement requirements.

A tree sampling survey was conducted on 2/19 at which time a tree inventory was performed within an approximate 1 acre wooded area. The sampling area was located within an area to be preserved in open space and was representative of the vegetative cover found throughout the wooded portions of the open space areas. Approximately 425 trees ranging between 4" - 36" were identified within the sampling area. The overall project contains approximately 72 acres of wooded open space. Based on this assessment, it is estimated 30,600 trees are being preserved on site

Tree species identified included: ACER SPECIES - MAPLE SPACIES CELTIS OCCIDENTALIS - HACKBERRY FRAXINUS AMERICANA - AMERICAN ASH JUNIPERUS VIGINIANA - EASTER RED CEDAR MACLURA POMIFERA - OSAGE ORANGE PRUNUS AVIUM - WILD CHERRY QUERCUS SPECIES - OAK SPECIES

APPLICANT REQUESTS EXISTING TREE ROW - TO BE PRESERVED IN OPEN SPACE TO SATISFY BUFFER REQUIREMENTS

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

Know what's **below. Call** before you dig. Call TN One Call before digging. Dial 811 gu

tudio

Ś

S1.

Ð

Φ

Ð

enne

nty

0

SOI

William

tation

S

Road, Thom

Dean I 1780 Dean I

S

 $\odot$ 

σ uffe  $\mathbf{m}$ Š en Ξ Ф Replac Tree

![](_page_25_Picture_11.jpeg)

date. Februrary 21, 2020 revisions. January 13, 2021

project no. 19041 scale. shown on plan

L-1.0

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

# Dean Road Property - LANDSCAPE SCHEDULE

| PLAN | T LIST                  |                             |                         |             |        |           |           |
|------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| QTY  | SYM                     | BOTANICAL NAME              | COMMON NAME             | SIZE        | HEIGHT | NOTES     | ACI VALUE |
| CANC | OPY TREES               | 5                           |                         |             |        |           |           |
| 44   | $\odot$                 | ULMUS PARVAFOLIA 'ELMER II' | LACEBARK ALLEE ELM      | 3" CAL, B/B | B&B    | DECIDUOUS |           |
| 45   |                         | ACER RUBRUM 'OCTOBER GLORY' | OCTOBER GLORY RED MAPLE | 3" CAL, B/B | B&B    | DECIDUOUS |           |
| 39   | $\langle \cdot \rangle$ | QUERCUS PHELLOS             | WILLOW OAK              | 3" CAL, B/B | B&B    | DECIDUOUS |           |

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

LANDSCAPE PLANTING GENERAL NOTES

5. ALL PLANT BEDS SHALL BE RAKED AND CLEARED OF LARGE ROCKS. ALL LARGE DIRT CLODS SHALL BE PULVERIZED OR REMOVED PRIOR TO PLANTING.

7. PRE-EMERGINT HERBICIDE SHALL BE APPLIED AFTER INSTALLATION AND IMMEDIATELY PRIOR TO MULCHING. 8. ALL PLANT BEDS ARE TO BE MULCHED WITH A MINIMUM OF 4 INCHES OF SHREDDED PINE BARK. ALL ANNUAL BEDS SHALL BE MULCHED WITH A MINIMUM OF 2 INCHES OF SOIL CONDITIONER, PINE BARK FINES.

9. THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ANY PLANT MATERIAL OR ANY DEFECTIVE WORKMANSHIP. 10. ALL SOD AREAS SHALL BE TILLED AND RAKED SMOOTH, WITH LARGE DIRT CLODS AND ROCKS REMOVED, PRIOR TO SOD

INSTALLATION.

ADEQUATELY DRAIN.

13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL NOTIFY THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT WHEN THE PLANT MATERIALS ARE AT THE JOB SITE FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO INSTALLATION.

# SINGLE TRUNK DECIDUOUS TREE

1. THE LANDSCAPE CONTACTOR SHALL B E RESPONSIBLE FOR CONFIRMING THE QUANITIES OF ALL MATERIALS. THE QUANTIES ON THE PLAN SHALL TAKE PRECEDENCE OVER THE PLANT LIST.

2. SUBSTITUTIONS OF TYPE, SIZE, OR SPACING OF PLANTS MAY NOT BE MADE WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE OWNER'S LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT, AND MAY RESULT IN THE RESUBMITTAL OF LANDSCAPE PLANS TO THE TOWN OF THOMPSONS STATION FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO INSTALLATION

3. ALL CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY SHALL BE COORDINATED WITH TENNESSEE ONE CALL PRIOR TO DIGGING. ALL DAMAGE TO UTILITES SHALL BE REPAIRED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE PER UTILITY PROVIDER'S STANDARDS.

4. THE PLANT LIST SPECIFICATIONS PROVIDED WITHIN THE PLANT LIST FOR HEIGHT AND SIZE ARE MINIMUMS.

6. ALL LARGE DIRT CLODS RESULTING FROM PLANTING SHALL BE PULVERIZED AND REMOVED PRIOR TO MULCHING.

11. THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL STAKING AND LAYOUT OF PLANT BEDS.

12. THE LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING THE DRAINAGE OF ALL TREE AND SHRUB PITS. A PVC OR GRAVEL SUMP AT THE BASE OF THE TREE WELL MAY BE REQUIRED IN AREAS WHERE CLAY SOILS DO NOT

![](_page_26_Figure_26.jpeg)

DETAIL #

NTS

![](_page_26_Picture_27.jpeg)

(በ) Ine ₹  $\cap$ SON William Station, Ω ad Road, **an** Dean

Pla

Φ

Φ

**\_\_\_** 

Φ

Stre

tudio

Ś

**u u** 

**S**1.

Ð

![](_page_26_Picture_29.jpeg)

**De** 1780 I

date. January 13, 2021 revisions.

project no. 19041 scale. shown on plan

![](_page_26_Picture_32.jpeg)

Call TN One Call before digging. Dial 811

## Dean Road Concept Plan & Preliminary Plat Consistency Statement

This preliminary plat is in accordance with the concept plan in the following ways:

- 1. Lot count didn't change;
- 2. No major changes to road network;
- 3. Open space has remained relatively the same;
- 4. All utilities and septic areas are in proper locations (may be revised to better fit lots);
- 5. Steep slopes are primarily within open space boundaries.

WWW.T2-ENG.COM

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

1/6/2021

Planning and Zoning Town of Thompson's Station 1551 Thompson's Station Rd West Thompson's Station, TN 37179

SUBJECT: Dean Road Subdivision - Deviation of Standard Street Design Request

Staff,

This request is to accompany the Dean Road Subdivision preliminary plat, dated 1-6-2021. The developer is requesting a Deviation of Standard approval to the Street Design Standards of the typical roadway section in Appendix E (Roadway Cross Sections) of the Land Development Ordinance. The ordinance states there is to be a 26' wide paved road with curb and gutter and 5' wide sidewalk on both sides of the street within a 50' right of way.

Dean Road Subdivision requests the removal of the sidewalks and curb and gutters. The subdivision proposes a 50' right of way with a 26' wide paved road, 2' shoulders and drainage ditches. The proposed layout is deemed best to suit this site due to the subdivision being rural in nature and to provide the ability to daylight septic curtain drains in the ditches.

Feel free to contact the undersigned at 615-678-8212 or tim@t2-eng.com with any questions.

Tim Turner, PE President T-Square Engineering, Inc.

## **Allison Baldwin**

| From:        | Brian Corwin <brian.corwin@williamsoncounty-tn.gov></brian.corwin@williamsoncounty-tn.gov> |
|--------------|--|
| Sent:        | Wednesday, February 12, 2020 2:11 PM   |
| То:          | Tim Turner   |
| Cc:          | Bart Skelton   |
| Subject:     | Curb & gutter drainage in septic served subdivisions                                       |
| Attachments: | Section 26 - 02 12 20.pdf  |

Tim-

In accordance with Section 26, Subsection C, Part 2 of the current *Regulations Governing On-Site Sewage Disposal Systems* of the Williamson County Department of Sewage Disposal Management, the use of curb and gutter drainage is not allowed in subdivisions served by septic systems. Please refer to the attached PDF file of that section of our regulations; highlighted in yellow on page S26-4.

Let me know if you have additional questions or need further clarification.

Thanks, Brian

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Brian K. Corwin, Director William son County — Department of Sewage Disposal Management 1320 West Main Street; Suite # 411 Franklin, TN 37064 (615)790-5751 Brian.Corwin@william soncounty-tn.gov

Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

**DATE:** January 26, 2021

**TO:** Planning Commissioners

FROM: Micah Wood, AICP Planning Director

# SUBJECT: Item 4 – Ordinance 2021-004 – Land Development Ordinance Cleanup & Clarification Amendment

Town Staff has flagged several items that require minor revisions to the LDO to provide clarification on certain standards and the cleanup of other areas within the LDO.

These amendments are offered without a workshop since they are not designed to provide any significant policy changes or shifts in process; rather, these are meant to clarify inconsistencies, eliminate conflicting standards, and to ensure that the LDO processes work in a more efficient manner for all users of the LDO.

Staff is working to set up a work session with BOMA and Planning Commission to discuss other changes to the LDO process, including revisions to the Transect development approval process, landscaping and tree preservation, design standards, and traffic impact study requirements, among other items that may be raised by Planning Commissioners and BOMA members.

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission provide a favorable recommendation onto the BOMA for these clean up and clarification text amendments.

<u>Attachments</u> Ordinance 2021-004 Exhibit A

## ORDINANCE NO. 2021-004

## AN ORDINANCE OF THE TOWN OF THOMPSON'S STATION, TENNESSEE TO AMEND, BY CLEAN UP AND CLARIFICAITON, CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE LAND DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, Town Staff and the Planning Commission is recommending changes certain provisions of the Town's Land Development Ordinance ("LDO") to amend various sections throughout the LDO in order to clean up and clarify various standards, regulations, and requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed these proposed changes and has recommended that the Board of Mayor and Aldermen adopt the amendments to the LDO as proposed herein; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Mayor and Aldermen has reviewed the Land Development Ordinance and has determined, based upon the recommendations of Town Staff, the Planning Commission, and the record as a whole, that the proposed amendments are consistent with the General Plan, will not have a deleterious effect on the Town, makes improvements to the LDO, and are in the best interest of the Town.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen of the Town of Thompson's Station, Tennessee, as follows:

**Section 1.** That the Town of Thompson's Station's Land Development Ordinance is hereby amended by adopting the changes as set out in Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. After final passage, Town Staff is directed to incorporate these changes into an updated, codified Land Development Ordinance document with the date of BOMA approval and said document shall constitute the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivisions Regulations of the Town.

**Section 2.** If any section or part of the Land Development Ordinance, including any amendments thereto, is determined to be invalid for any reason, such section or part shall be deemed to be a separate and independent provision. All other sections or parts shall remain in full force and effect. If any section or part of the Land Development Ordinance is invalid in one or more of its applications, that section or part shall remain in effect for all other valid applications.

**Section 3.** This ordinance shall take effect immediately upon the publication of its caption in a newspaper of general circulation after final reading by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen, the public welfare requiring it.

Duly approved and adopted by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen of the Town of Thompson's Station, Tennessee, on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

## ATTEST:

Regina Fowler, Town Recorder

Passed First Reading: \_\_\_\_\_

Passed Second Reading: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted to Public Hearing on the \_\_\_\_\_, at 7:00 p.m., after being advertised in the *Williamson AM* Newspaper on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

Recommended for approval by the Planning Commission on \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGALITY:

\_\_\_\_\_

Town Attorney

## EXHIBIT "A"

## Words noted with a strikethrough are to be deleted and words in red text includes new language to be added.

## **Throughout the LDO**

Strike the word "principal" and replace with the word "principle"

## Section 1.1.1 Authority and Applicability- revise TCA tile citation

1.1.1 Authority. The action of the Town of Thompson's Station, Tennessee in the adoption of this Land Development Ordinance (LDO) is authorized under the laws of the State of Tennessee, including Title 13 and as later amended, <u>§§13-7-201. et seq</u>.

## Section 1.2.5 Sectors- clarify section title & O2 section policy

1.2.5 Sectors Established

. . .

c. The O2 Rural Open Space Sector (O2) should consist of lands of rural character, including hamlets, in which development should be limited to that which will not overburden resources or natural systems, which are designed in harmony with the natural environment and in accordance with infrastructure availability.

## Section 1.3 Definitions- revise terms/definitions for clarity Delete the following terms and definitions:

**A-grid**: cumulatively, those thoroughfares that by virtue of their pre-existing pedestriansupportive qualities, or their future importance to pedestrian connectivity, are held to the highest standards prescribed by this Code. See B-grid.

**B-Grid:** cumulatively, those thoroughfares that by virtue of their use, location, or absence of pre-existing pedestrian-supportive qualities, may meet a standard lower than that of the A-Grid.

## Add the following term and definition:

**Major Thoroughfare Plan:** The Town's adopted transportation plan that provides guidance and policy to the community, property owner, Town Staff, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. The Major Throughfare Plan (MTP) also contains the functional classification(s) of streets within the Town, which are referenced in various LDO standards.

# Section 2.1 Sector Plan Adopted- clarify Sector Plan Map policy & reference the amendment process for the Sector Map

## 2.1 Sectors Plan Adopted

The Board has adopted the Sector Plan in support of the General Plan. The Sector Plan prescribes the community types that are permitted in each growth sector. See Table 2.1 Community Types Permitted in Sectors.

The Sector Plan Map reflects the policy promulgated under the General Plan. The Sector Plan Map establishes the permitted community types within each Sector throughout the Town. *See* Table 2.1. which outlines the permitted Community Type with each Sector. Any amendment to any Sector, as shown on the Sector Plan Map, shall follow the provisions of Section 5.3.4.

## Section 2.2 Community Types- clarify community types & sectors 2.2 Sectors Plan Adopted

The community types support §1.2 Intent, by regulating community types as uses permitted within certain locations within designated Sectors, as depicted on the Sector Plan Map. These community types are regulated by size, use, and intensity suitable for allocation to Sectors and site conditions within the Town.

## <u>Section 2.2.4 Mixed Use Community Types, Design- clarify the section title</u> 2.2.4 <u>Mixed Use Community Types, Design Mixed Use: Hamlets, Villages, and Centers</u>

# Section 2.2.5 Hamlets- remove dripfields from open space & refine configuration requirements

2.2.5 Hamlet

. . .

- d. A minimum of 60% of the area of the hamlet shall be permanently set aside as the hamlet's "undeveloped portion," and the balance shall be its "developed portion." The undeveloped portion shall be exclusively for agricultural use or any uses permitted in the T1 or T2 zoning districts. This area may also be used for wastewater drip fields.
- e. The undeveloped portion shall separate the developed portion from adjacent developed land. The undeveloped portion shall either be configured as contiguous area or shall be configured in groupings (these groupings shall not be small remainder areas and shall be large enough to meet the intent of this community type as reflected herein).

## Section 2.2.6 Village- remove dripfields from open space

- d. A minimum of 40% of the area of the village shall be permanently set aside as the village's "undeveloped portion," and the balance shall be its "developed portion." The undeveloped portion shall be exclusively for agricultural use or any uses permitted in the T1 or T2 zoning districts. This area may also be used for wastewater drip fields.
- e. The undeveloped portion shall separate the developed portion from adjacent developed land. The undeveloped portion shall either be configured as contiguous area or shall be configured in groupings (these groupings shall not be small remainder areas and shall be large enough to meet the intent of this community type as reflected herein).

## <u>Section 3.1.4 Filing of Previously Approved Plats- revise timeline for recordation with</u> <u>County</u>

All previously approved final plats shall be filed with the county register's office within six (6) months following adoption of these subdivision regulations. In the event the owner fails to file a plat within the time period stipulated herein the approval shall become void and no building permit shall be issued for any lot located therein until action is taken to reinstate the plat. All final plats approved under these regulations shall be filed with the county register's office within six (6) months one year following final plat approval.

# Section 3.3.14 Tree Protection- add language to clarify this is required as part of preliminary plat or site plan.

Tree Protection

- a. The resource inventory map must identify all non-invasive trees of 18 inches in caliper and greater measured at 4½ feet above the natural grade of the tree. All clusters of trees and tree rows must also be identified on the inventory map. Removal of mature, indigenous trees in healthy condition is discouraged. During the preliminary plat or site plan process, trees that are proposed for removal shall require tree removal approval from the Planning Commission for all trees 18 inches in caliper and greater and replacement trees shall be required at a 1.5:1 ratio for each inch removed. Replacement or relocated trees must be planted on site or Planning Commission approval is required for an off-site location.
- b. Approval of removal of trees shall be considered through the preliminary plat or site plan process. A licensed arborist or other licensed professional shall prepare a protection plan and a mitigation and/or replacement of removed trees plan. The protection plan shall include a tree inventory which shall document all trees that are 18 inches or greater in diameter that are indigenous to the region.
- c. Trees that are designated to remain shall be subject to the following requirements to minimize disturbance to the tree:
  - i. All trees that will remain on site shall have protective chain-link fencing installed be installed around the dripline of the tree to protect the root system for of the tree.
  - •••
- e. Failure to comply with the above Tree Protection standards shall result in a Stop Work Order being issued and may result in the cancellation of all permits for the development site.
- f. In the event on site or approved off-site replacement of trees is not feasible, application may be made to the Planning Commission to request a fee-in-lieu agreement, wherein 120% of the cost of the replacement shall be made to the Town. The Planning Commission may deny any such application in its absolute and sole discretion.

## Section 3.5.1 Civic and Open Space Standards

3.5.1 Civic spaces shall be assigned to each pedestrian shed. The minimum and maximum percentage of land to be <del>dedicated and deeded provided</del> as land in civic space is shown in Table 2.3 Community Types, Areas and Civic Space Civic spaces shall be assigned per Table 4.1 Land Use and Building Type, and shall be designed per Table 3.1 Civic Space Types to conform to their zoning district, and to the following: ...

## Section 3.7 Access

## 3.7.1 Access to Lots

The Planning Commission may require that lots shall not derive access from major thoroughfares pursuant to the General Plan and the Major Thoroughfare Plan. Where driveway access from such public ways may be necessary for several adjoining lots, the commission may require that the lots be served by a combined access drive, alley, or rear lane in order to limit possible traffic hazards. Driveways shall be designed and arranged so as to avoid requiring vehicles to back onto arterial or collector streets.

## Section 3.9.1 Thoroughfares- clarify this policy is derived from the MTP

3.9.1 Thoroughfare assemblies shall be designed according to this subsection. Thoroughfares shall be designed per the character of their context to implement §1.2 Intent. The Thompson's Station Comprehensive Plan defines types of communities in the region relative to their community character and the Town's throughfare network is established in the Major Thoroughfare Plan.

## Table 3.10 Design Speed- revise note

\*As determined by the Major Road and Street Plan-Major Thoroughfare Plan

# Add New Section 3.15 Addressing and Mailboxes- add new section to cover addressing & new USPS requirements for mailboxes

## **13.5 Addressing and Mailboxes**

All addressing (include approval of subdivision and street names) shall be done per the Williamson County Emergency Management Agency's rules, regulations, and standards.

All mailbox types and locations shall be per the USPS National Delivery Planning Standards. Each final plat shall contain the following standards note: "All mailbox types and locations meet the requirements and standards of the United States Postal Service."

## Section 4.3.1 Special Requirements- clarify section & revise to reference MTP

4.3.1 A concept plan may designate any of the following special requirements to be applied according to the standards of this article. These restrictions are applied to the plat by the applicant- and shall be applied as follows:

a. A differentiation of the thoroughfares as a-grid and b-grid per the Major Thoroughfare Plan. Frontages located more than 100' from the a-grid can be considered for private frontage exceptions by the Town Planner. The local street frontages assigned to the b-grid shall not exceed 30% of the total length of frontages within a pedestrian shed.

## Section 4.5.1 General to all zone- clarify classification of uses

4.5.1 General to all zones:

a. Lot use and building type is limited according to Table 4.1, Table 4.2, Table 4.3 and Table 4.4. Any use not listed may be considered classified as a permitted use under the broad categories of residential, lodging, office, retail, service, institutional, agriculture, automotive, civil support, education, and industrial by the Planning-Commission Town Planner. After classification of the use by the Town Planner, a text amendment reflecting the newly classified use shall be sent to Planning Commission for recommendation onto BOMA.

## Section 4.5.1 Lot Use Restrictions- clarify home occupation requirements

4.5.1 General to all zones:

- •••
- b. Home occupations in compliance with Table 4.1, Table 4.2, and Table 4.4 shall be permitted in all zoning districts pursuant to the restrictions of Table 4.5 Building Intensity Section 4.11.2.

## Table 4.4 O2, G1, G2 Use Zones Land Use- remove cell towers from CC zones

| Table 4.4   | O2, G1, G2 USE ZONES LAND USE |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
|-------------|-------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|             | USE                           | D1 | D2 | D3 | NC | CC | IL | IM |
| INSTITUT    | IONAL                         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Wireless co | mmunications facility         |    |    |    |    | ₽  | ₽  | Р  |

# Section 4.6.6 Accessory Structures- add that accessory structures shall be at least 10 ft from other structures

Rear setbacks for accessory buildings shall be a minimum of 5 feet measured from the property line. In the absence of rear alley or rear lane, the rear setback shall be as shown in Table 4.6 through Table 4.16. All accessory structures shall be at least 10 feet from any other structure.

Residential Zoning District Lot Standard Tables 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.9, 4.10, 4.11, 4.12- Revise"Access Drive Width to setback" with standard driveway setback language.Access Drive Width to setback20 ft. max.Driveway(s) shall be 10 feet from any property line.

## Section 4.8.4 Loading Dock locations- clarify to prohibit loading docks in front yards.

Loading docks and service areas up to a combined width of 30 feet may be incorporated into frontages as follows:

• • •

c. Loading docks and service areas shall not be permitted on b-grid frontages and shall not be permitted on or within 100 feet of an a-grid frontage within a front yard.

# Section 4.9.7 Regulations General to IL and IM zones- remove erroneous reference to airports

Regulations General to the IL, and IM zones. The IL, and IM zones are primarily for light and medium industry, respectively. (1) The two zones differ in their uses permitted, which are listed separately in Table 5.1. Land Use Classification Matrix (2) Setbacks for loading facilities from railroad tracks or airport taxiways may be reduced to 0 feet.

# Section 4.10.1 Regulations General to IL and IM zones- remove erroneous reference to airports

4.10.1 Single-Family Residential Standards

• • •

c. All single-family residences in subdivisions that receive preliminary plat approval, and all single lot site plans for single family residences, after the effective date of the ordinance

amending this subsection<sup>1</sup> shall have a two (2) car (or larger) garage with minimum interior dimensions of 22 feet by 22 area of 484 square feet. This minimum interior area of the garage shall be free and clear of permanent obstructions, fixtures, or appliances, such as water heaters, washer/ dryer hook up areas, stairs, etc. In addition, all single lot site plans for single family-residences submitted after the effective date of this ordinance shall also have a two (2) car (or larger) garage with minimum interior dimensions of 22 feet by 22 feet. All front-loaded garages shall be recessed from the front facade a minimum of two (2) feet. Existing single family-residences including any residences in subdivisions that have received construction plan approval prior to the effective date of the ordinance amending this subsection are exempt from this standard. Detached garages and carports shall be located toward on the side or rear of the residence beyond the front wall plane of the residence. All driveways shall be a minimum of 20 feet in length, exclusive of sidewalks.

## Section 4.10.3 Multi-family Residential Standards- clarify design standards

4.10.3 Multi-family Residential Standards

•••

c. Construction shall incorporate a combination of masonry, fiber cement siding (ex Hardiplank), and/or brick.

## Section 4.11.3 Residential Business- clarify submittal requirements

4.11.3 Residential Business

ii. Residential businesses shall be subject to review and approval by the Planning Commission. The application must include the following information:

...

- b) Ten (10) copies of A detailed site plan showing the location of all proposed business activities on the project site in a format determined by Town Staff.
- c) Ten (10) copies of Detailed building elevations (for all new construction) in a format determined by Town Staff.

## Section 4.11.5 Automotive Uses

4.11.5 Automotive Uses

•••

m. Car washes and other automotive use shall be oriented so that any wash bays or service bays shall not open onto a public ROW.

# Section 4.11.7 Wireless Communications Facilities- clarify cell tower permitted use locations

4.11.7 Wireless Communications Facilities

...

b. Permitted Locations: WCTs are shall only be permitted within the IM zoning district subject to these standards; however, the placement of such towers shall be done in areas and specific locations to minimize the visual impact of WCTs is strongly encouraged.

## Section 4.17.2 General Provisions- clarify master sign process and PC review of signs

4.17.2 General Provisions

•••

c. Design, construction and maintenance of signs. All signs shall be designed, constructed and maintained in accordance with the follow standards:

•••

vi. Master Sign Plan Approval. All new developments requiring subdivision plat or site plan approval shall submit a master sign plan for approval prior to construction All site plans that contain more than 75,000 square feet and any preliminary plat shall submit a master sign plan. The master sign plan shall include a site plan showing the location of all proposed signs on the project site in relation to all existing and proposed buildings and structures. Scaled drawings showing the proposed design for all signs, including any proposed lighting for such signs. All signs within a development shall be compatible in design quality. The Master Sign Plan shall be reviewed by the Planning Commission in conjunction with the proposed subdivision plat or site plan.

vii. <del>Design</del> Review Approval. All <del>nonresidential signs,</del> multi-family signs and residential subdivisions entrance signs shall be subject to review and approval by the <del>Design Review Planning</del> Commission.

## Section 4.17.4 Permitted Signs- clarify the time period for development signs

4.17.4 Permitted Signs

•••

- b. Signs permitted in all residential districts (including D1, D2, D3 residential zones and residential within the TC). In addition to the signs permitted as otherwise provided herein, the following signs are permitted within all residential districts subject to the specifications described below:
  - i. Subdivision entrance signs. Such signs shall be located at the primary entrance(s) to a development as identified on a preliminary plan approved by the planning commission. The signs shall be located on private property within a platted sign or landscape easement or within the subdivision's common open space. The sign location shall be subject to the approval of the Planning Commission. Such signs shall be maintained by an established homeowners' or property owners' association.
    - •••

During the period when a subdivision is under development and until the permanent subdivision entrance sign(s) is/are erected, one temporary sign per entrance may be erected within the subdivision on property owned by the developer. No such sign shall exceed 32 square feet in area on one sign face; ten feet in width; and six feet in height. Such development signs shall be removed with the issuance of the CO for the last home to be developed within the subdivision or if no development activity occurs within the subdivision for a period of 1 year, whichever occurs earlier.

## Section 5.2.2 Minor Subdivision- clarify the minor subdivision process

5.2.2 Minor Subdivision/Administrative Approval

A minor subdivision shall be available for any subdivision that will divide land into four (4) or fewer lots and that does not include the construction and dedication of a public improvements. Minor subdivisions may be approved administratively by the Town Planner and shall not require concept plans or preliminary plats. Resubdivision of lots within existing subdivisions that previously received Planning Commission approval shall not be eligible for administrative review and instead shall require submission to the Planning Commission for approval.

## Section 5.2.3 Concept Plan

5.2.3 Concept Plan

## c. Concept plan consideration

The applicant shall submit the concept plan for Town staff review. The applicant shall provide a submittal package in accordance with the concept plan checklist. The Town Planner shall present the concept plan and his or her report and findings to the Planning Commission at its next regularly scheduled meeting after completion of the report. The Planning Commission shall hold a Design Workshop on the concept plan to provide feedback to the applicant. As the concept plan is for informational purposes only, the Planning Commission shall take no formal action with respect to a concept plan.

# Section 5.3.4 Procedure for Map and/or Text Amendments- clarify the process for Sector Plan Map amendments

5.3.4 Procedure for Map and/or Text Amendments

Applications for any change, either of district boundaries or classification of property as shown on the Sector Map or Zoning Map, shall be submitted to the Planning Commission at its public office.

a. Applications or petitions for sector or zoning map amendments shall be submitted in the form established by Town staff, in compliance with § Plans and Applications below, along with the fees established by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen. In addition to the notice and public hearing requirements of state law, any property owner or approved representative requesting an amendment to the zoning map shall be required, upon the filing of their petition, to identify (including the name(s) and mailing addresses) all adjacent property owners including any properties that are separated only by a public right of way. The Town shall then send by certified mail, notice of the proposed rezoning and of the scheduled public hearing time and date. Failure to obtain service upon an adjacent property owner may result in the rescheduling of the public hearing and postponement of the vote on the zoning map amendment. The applicant shall be responsible for all costs related to notice of the public hearing, including costs of certified mail and advertising. The applicant shall also post notification sign(s) in a prominent place or places on the property subject to the proposed zoning map amendment. Whenever any petition for an amendment of the zoning map has been denied by the Board of Mayor and Aldermen, no new petition covering the same property (or the same property plus any additional property) can be filed with the Board of Mayor and Aldermen until one (1) year has elapsed from the date of the filing of a previous petition, provided that nothing herein shall prevent the Planning Commission or Board of Mayor and Aldermen from initiating a zoning map amendment.

• • •

d. All sector or zoning map and text amendments and any subdivision regulation amendments, shall follow the procedure as set forth under state law as may be amended from time to time.

- 1. Strategic growth by annexation is appropriate when:
  - (a) the location is supported by existing Town infrastructure and services;
  - (b) the location is supported by existing Town infrastructure/services and wastewater service is to be provided by septic as approved by Williamson County; or
  - (c) where infrastructure and services are planned to be provided in an efficient, timely, and orderly manner.
- 2. The extension of infrastructure and public services should be used as a tool that strategically directs where growth should take place, and not as a reactive response to development.
- 3. Territory shall be zoned T2 after formal annexation. Upon completion of the annexation and initial zoning processes, the property may be rezoned, upon proper application, according to proposed development plans in accordance with The General Plan.
- 3. Zoning, infrastructure improvements, and community facility investments should be coordinated to maximize efficiency and public benefit while minimizing negative impacts of growth.
- 4. Applications for annexation shall be accompanied by a cost-benefit analysis that provides Staff, the Planning Commission, and the BOMA with a complete understanding and detailed overview of the long-term impacts of the request for annexation. This information should provide details on existing infrastructure as well as non-existing infrastructure necessary to support the proposed annexation. The information in the cost-benefit analysis should also include a statement describing how the annexation will ensure a continuation of an orderly, planned, and well-designed development of the Town. Special attention should be given to:
  - (a) the current roadway infrastructure conditions for all roadways impacted by the proposed annexation and how any substandard roads will be improved to Town standards and by whom; and
  - (b) the total cost for sewer improvements needed to service the annexed territory, which includes an approved engineer letter of findings (ELF) and an executed Reservation of Wastewater Capacity Agreement.

## Town of Thompson's Station Annexation Policy

- 5. Annexation within the UGB should be approached in a comprehensive manner that promotes contiguity and orderly growth, efficient and timely delivery of Town services, and proactive planning for future development.
- 6. Annexation not within the UGB, while discouraged, may be considered on a case-by-case basis, with consideration of any and/or all of the following:
  - (a) The orderly extension of the Town's corporate boundaries;
  - (b) The minimization of gaps between annexed territory/infrastructure service areas;
  - (c) The cost of extending public infrastructure and services;
  - (d) The companionability with the surrounding development pattern;
  - (e) The UGB of other municipalities, including planned future services by other municipalities; and
  - (f) Other restrictions or rules promulgated by statute.

Phone: (615) 794-4333 Fax: (615) 794-3313 www.thompsons-station.com

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

1550 Thompson's Station Road W. P.O. Box 100 Thompson's Station, TN 37179

## MEMO

| DATE:    | January 26, 2020   |
|----------|--|
| то:      | Planning Commissioners   |
| FROM:    | Micah Wood, AICP<br>Planning Director  |
| SUBJECT: | Item 6: ROW/Intersection relocation for Buckner Lane at Thompson's Station Road East |

Southeast Ventures requests the Planning Commission review an intersection relocation in ROW partially controlled by the Town of Thompson's Station. The intersection of Buckner Lane at Thompson's Station Road East is proposed to be relocated to accommodate a PUD development called June Lake that is located in Spring Hill and is being developed in conjunction with the new Buckner Road-Interstate 65 Interchange.

The ROW plat submitted with this request has been approved by the City of Spring Hill. Deeded lots indicate a mixed picture as to where the actual property lines fall in this location: some deeds indicate to the centerline of the road, while others indicate they end at the ROW line. Spring Hill, through acceptance of the ROW on the southside of Thompson's Station Road East, as part of the preliminary plat, has laid claim to the ROW to the centerline of the Thompson's Station Road East. The Town's ROW would meet the Spring Hill ROW at the centerline, forming the corporate boundaries between the two municipalities.

Members of the Southeast Ventures will be in attendance at the meeting to provide context to this request.

Since the Intersection relocation impacts ROW controlled by the Town, Planning Commission should review this request and provide a favorable or unfavorable recommendation onto BOMA as to the acceptance of this change.

|   | NOTES  |   |
|---|--|---|
| PRIORE OF LOD A. TO CLUTE A SUBJECT STEMUSE THE NUMBER OF MANY TAND BUSINESS AND SUBJECT TANKS TO TANK THE PRIORE DATA SUBJECT TO TANK THE TANKS THE TANKS TO TANK THE PRIORE DATA SUBJECT TO TANK THE PRIOR DATA SUBJECT TO TANK THE PRIO   | 1. THE PURPOSE OF DEDICATE RIGHT OF V  | F THIS PLAT IS TO CREATE 2 BUILDABLE LOTS, OPEN SPACE AND WAY.  |
| PURPOSE OF USE FOR LOT D. TO CREATE A SINGLE IOT ADJACENT TO THE<br>REALENDS DECOMENT ANS FOR UTUBE DEVELOPMENT. THE LOT WHILE BE<br>DEVELOPMENT THE STURE AS A SINGLE AND LITERANCE TO WHILE BE<br>DEVELOPMENT THE STURE AS A SINGLE AND LITERANCE DEVELOPMENT. IN<br>ACCORDANCE WITH THE 250 SUBJECT TO TAX MAPS 154 & LIE<br>DIVISION TO REMPERATURE MASSURED WITH EDM. EQUIPMENT AND HAVE BEEN<br>ADJOINTO FOR TEMPERATURE. BESILVE AND HAVE BEEN<br>CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>PHONE COLLECT GROUP AND ALTA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>ADDORESS: SOB SINCE THAT STURE<br>PHONE CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>EVAL: CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>EVAL: CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>PHONE CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>EVAL: CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>PHONE CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>PHONE CONTACT: DON ALTAANDR<br>ADDRESS: SOB SINCE PARK DRIVE, SUITE IO<br>MARKING TO THE TOTOR TEMPERATURES<br>THE THENESSES STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THE SURVEY<br>ADD WAS ESTABLISHED USING RTK-CPP POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>ADDRESS: SOB SINCE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THE SURVEY<br>ADD WAS ESTABLISHED USING RTK-CPP POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>MEED DATA DOOR MARKING POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>PHONE CONTACT: DOOR MARKING POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>PHONE CONTACT: DOOR MARKING POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>PHONE ON ALL CONTACT AND THE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FOOD PLANE AND E<br>EVALUATION ON THAT AS SHOWING CONTOR POSITION AND ALTA THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>WEED DEVELOPMENT ADD CONTON THE SOLVE CONTOR POSITION ADD ATT THAT WAS ACQUEED ON<br>PHONE ON ALL CONTONNE ON THE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FOOD PLANE AND E<br>EVALUATION ON THAT AS SHOWING AND THE COMMITMENT, THEREFORE THE<br>EVALUATION OF ALL DATA COLLECTION ON THE ALL MARKER AT INFORMATION AND ALL DATA COLLECTION THAT AS SHOWING AND ALL DATA COLLECTION THAT AS SHOWING AND ALL DATA COLLECTION AND ALL DATA COLLECTION ON THAT AS SHOWING AND ALL DATA COLLECTION ON THE ALL MARKER AT INFORMATION TO THE<br>EVALUATION OF ALL DATA COLLECTION ON THAT AND ALL DATA COLLECTION AND ALL DATA COLLECTION ON ALL DATA COLLECTION AND ALL | -PURPOSE OF LO<br>RIGHT OF WAY<br>DEVELOPED IN<br>DEVELOPMENT, I<br>PRIOR TO DEV<br>APPLICATION WII  | T A: TO CREATE A SINGLE LOT, BETWEEN THE NEW BUCKNER LAN<br>AND FORMER BUCKNER LANE RIGHT WAY. THIS LOT WILL B<br>THE FUTURE AS SUBDIVIDED SINGLE FAMILY LAND US<br>N ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2660 BUCKNER LANE GATEWAY PZD<br>ELOPMENT OF LOT A, A SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLA<br>LL BE MADE BY THE DEVELOPER.   |
| 2. PACEL         NUMBERS         PROVIDE AND PACE TO TAX MAPS 154 & Ide           2. MILLIANSON COUNTY TENNESSEE         SALL DOSTANCIS WHE MASURED WITH EDM. FQUIPMENT AND HAVE BEEN           2. MILLIANSON COUNTY TENNESSEE         SUPERIAL CAN WAY           4. ODDRESS         SUPERIAL CAN WAY           6. SURVYOR:         SUBJERELIAN WAY           6. SURVYOR:         SUBME           ADDRESS:         SUBJERELIAN WAY           CONTACT:         DON ALEXANDER           ADDRESS:         SUBME           ADDRESS:         SUBME           CONTACT:         DON ALEXANDER           PHONE         SUBME           CONTACT:         BOD ALEXANDER           PHONE         SUBME           CONTACT:         BOD ALEXANDER           PHONE         SUBME           CONTACT:         BOD ALEXANDER           CONTACT:         SUBMERS SUBMERS AND ALEXANDER           CONTACT:         BOD ALEXANDER           CONTACT:         SUBMERS SUBMERS AND ALEXANDER   | -PURPOSE OF U<br>REALIGNED BUC<br>DEVELOPED IN TH<br>ACCORDANCE W  | SE FOR LOT D: TO CREATE A SINGLE LOT ADJACENT TO TH<br>KNER LANE FOR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT. THIS LOT WILL B<br>HE FUTURE AS A SINGLE-PARCEL MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT, II<br>ITH THE 2660 BUCKNER LANE GATEWAY PZD.   |
| A. AL DETANCES WERE MEASURED WITH ED.M. EQUIPMENT AND HAVE BEEN ADJUSTED FOR TEMPERATURE      ADDRESS:  | 2. PARCEL NUMBER<br>WILLIAMSON COUNT   | RS SHOWN AS THUS (00) REFER TO TAX MAPS 154 & 16<br>Y, TENNESSEE.   |
| A OWNER A DOWNERS BUCKNER LANE PARTNERS, LLC BUT PHONE COLLEG GROUP, IN 37046 PHONE PHONE CONTACT: DON ALEXANDER PHONE SAMP PHONE CONTACT: DON ALEXANDER CONTACT: DON C   | 3. ALL DISTANCES ADJUSTED FOR TEMP   | WERE MEASURED WITH E.D.M. EQUIPMENT AND HAVE BEEI<br>ERATURE.   |
| COLLEG GROVE, TN 37046 CONTACT: DON ALEXANDER EMAIL: Galexander@southeastventure.com Galexander@southeastventure.com Galexander@southeastventure.com Galexander@southeastventure.com Galexander@southeastventure.com The Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THIS SURVEY AND WAS ESTABLISHED USING RTK OPS POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUIRED ON FMAIL: Galexander@southeastventure.com The Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THIS SURVEY AND WAS ESTABLISHED USING RTK OPS POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUIRED ON FMAIL: Galexander@southeastventure.com The Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THIS SURVEY AND WAS ESTABLISHED USING RTK OPS POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUIRED ON FMAIL: Galexander@southeastventure.com The Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTERSON WERE DEPAYED USING A VIS NETWORK OF MULTIPLE TOOT CORS STATIONS GaleXand Comparison of the Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTERSON WERE DEPAYED USING A VIS NETWORK OF MULTIPLE TOOT CORS STATIONS GaleXand Comparison of the Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTERSON WERE DEPAYED USING A VIS NETWORK OF MULTIPLE TOOT CORS STATIONS GaleXand Comparison of the Tennessee State PLAN ECORONINTERSON Bas THE REPORTED TOOS NOT LEE WITHIN THE 100 THE ALL COMPANY ALL CORDINATION BAS THE REPORT POSS NOT LEE WITHIN THE 100 THE ALL COMPANY ALL CORDINATION BAS THE REPORT DATA ACQUIRED WITH A THIE COMMITMENT, THEREPORE THE FROM THE UNDERGOUND USING CA DATALAL TOUTORY WITH A THIE COMMITMENT, THEREPORE THE FROM THE UNDERGOUND USING CA DATALAL THE COMMITMENT, THEREPORE THE FROM THE UNDERGOUND UTITY DAVAGE REPORTING ALC', THAT ANYONE WAS ESPONDERBUTY OF CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTITY ALL ROWNE HATO THE STRUMER 23, 2006, AND FRAN AND FROM USE FRAN ADD CONTROL TO THE DATA BAS FOR THAT WAS CONTACTION TO A DAY CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTITY ALL ROWNE HATO DISTING GROUND USEANT ON THE ALL COMMITMENT, THEREFORE THAT FRAN THE UNDERGOUND UTITY DAVAGE REPORTING ALC', THAT ANYONE WAS ESPONDERBUTY OF CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTITY ALL ROWNE HATO DISTING GROUND USEANT ON THE ACTION CO   | 4. OWNER:<br>ADDRESS:  | BUCKNER LANE PARTNERS, LLC<br>6012 PELICAN WAY  |
| CONTACT:     DOM ALEXANDER       EMAIL:     Galexander@southestwenture.com       6. SURVYOR:     SAME       ADDRESS:     SS GAME       PHONE     GIS13.85-101       CONTACT:     POORETSSING       PHONE     GIS13.85-101       EMAIL:     PROSPECTOR       CONTACT:     POORETSSING       PHONE     GIS13.85-101       EMAIL:     PROSPECTOR       CONTACT:     POORETSSING       PHONE     GIS13.85-101       EVENTS     PERSON       SURVEY CONTROL FORMS     PERSON       SURVEY CONTROL FORMS     PERSON       SURVEY CONTROL FORM     PERSON       SURVEY CONTRO  | PHONE:   | COLLEGE GROVE, TN 37046<br>615-833-9484   |
|   | CONTACT:<br>EMAIL:   | DON ALEXANDER<br>dalexander@southeastventure.com  |
| PHONE       PROB VILLE IN 17211         CONTACT:       PROB TE SANGON         CONTACT:       PROB TE SANGON         CONTACT:       PROB TE SANGON         CONTACT:       PROB TE SANGON         MAIL:       CONTACT:         PROB TE SANGON       PROB TE SANGON         MARCE 24, 2020       UTILIZING TRANSLE ASS. COR THIS SURVEY         AND WAS ESTABLED USING AT VIS.       PROVINCE CONTROL CORS. STICTIONS         VIEW CONTROL DURING AT VIS.       PROVINCE CONTROL CORS. STICTIONS         MERE DEBUNG DURING AT VIS.       PROVINCE CONTROL CORS. STICTIONS         B. THE PROPERTY DOES NOT: LE WITHIN THE TO VEAR FLOOD PLAIN AND IS         PROPERTY DUST AT X15 MOWN HEREON.         B. THE PROPERTY DOES NOT: LE WITHIN THE TO VEAR FLOOD PLAIN AND IS         PROPERTY SUBJECTIO THE FIGURATION OF ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE DEBUNCT CONTROL TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. EXCANTION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE DEBUNCTURE ACCESSING GOUND ELEMENT.         10. PROPERTY DUST BLAND THE OWER AND CONTONIC TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. EXCANTION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE DEBUNCTURE ACCESSING GOUND ANY ACASS OR CONTACT.         10. PROPERTY DUST BLAND THE ANDER ATTROCASES AND CONTROL TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. EXCANTION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE DEBUNCTURE ACCESSING GOUND ANY ACASS OR CONTACT.         10. PROPERTY DUST BLAND THE MEDICAL TO THE REGISTERY ON THE ANY DESCRIPTION ACCESSING GOUND ANY ACASS OR CONTACT.         10. PROPERTY DUST BLAND AND ARE THE DEBUNCT ON THE NORTH AND ANY D  | 6. SURVEYOR:<br>ADDRESS:   | S&ME<br>658 GRASSMERE PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100   |
| EMAL:     reason@smelinc.com       27. The TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THIS SURVEY       27. The TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SYSTEM IS THE BASIS FOR THIS SURVEY       27. The TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATE SOT THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOWN HEREORE       27. ThE TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATES OF THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOWN HEREORE       27. ThE TENNESSEE STATE PLANE COORDINATES OF THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOWN HEREORE       28. THE PROPERTY DOES NOT LE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAN ADD IS       28. THE PROPERTY DOES NOT LE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAN ADD.       28. THE PROPERTY DOES NOT LE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAN ADD.       29. THE SURVEYOR WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT. THEREFORE THE       20. THE SURVEYOR WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT. THEREFORE THE       20. THE SURVEYOR WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT. THEREFORE THE       20. ROBE TO THE FINDINGS OF A DEFALLED THIL SURVEY.       20. ROBE TO THE FINDINGS OF A DEFALLED THE SURVEYOR SHOULD A SUMPRISE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTUBBANCE OF THE       20. ROBE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, REAL AND THOONE STATION ACT, THAN ANYONE WHERE   <   | PHONE:<br>CONTACT:   | (615) 385-4144<br>ROBERT SEARSON  |
| AND WAS ESTABLISHED USING INTE-OSP POSITIONAL DATA THAT WAS ACQUIRED ON THE DATE OF MARCH 24, 2020 UTILIZION TRININEL RAS OR NATA THAT WAS ACQUIRED ON THE PART OF DUAL FREQUENCY RECEIVERS. THE CRID COORDINATES OF THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOUNN HEREON RECEIVERS. THE CRID COORDINATES OF THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOUNN HEREON RECEIVERS. THE POSITIONAL ACCURACY OF THE GRS VECTORS DOES NOT EXCEED PLAZY-02 IT. THE COMBINED GRD DE CATCO FOR DOSPOSO WAS CALCULATED AT SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOUNN HEREON. SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOUNN HEREON THE COMBINED GRD DE CATCO FOR DOSPOSO WAS CALCULATED AT SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOUNNESS ATTRONES OF DOSPOSO WAS CALCULATED AT SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHARE ACCURACY OF THE GRS VECTORS DOST NATA THAT COMBINED THE COMBINED AT THE COMBIN  | EMAIL:   | rsearson@smeinc.com   |
| Be THE INDUCTO BE INFORMATION IS PROVIDED WITH A TITLE CONMUTTENT. CHARLENENT ACEUST<br>FIRM PAREL NUMBER A 7119CODIE O ATTO A PAREL INJUNEER A 7187CODIES.<br>SEPTEMBER 29, 2006, AND FIRM PAREL NUMBER A 7187CODIES. THEM PAREL NUMBER<br>PATISCODOE DATED APRIL 16, 2007, IRMM PAREL NUMBER A 7187CODIES.<br>S. THIS SURVEYOR WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT, THEREFORE THE<br>PAREL NUMBER ATTO TO THE FINDINGS OF A DETAILED TITLE SEARCH.<br>10, PROFENT IS SUBJECT TO THE FINDINGS OF A DETAILED TITLE SEARCH.<br>10, PROFE TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE<br>DISTING GROUND RELEVATION. THE OWNER AND OR CONTRACTING SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE OWNER AND OR CONTRACTING SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE OWNER AND OR CONTACTING SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE OWNER AND OR CONTACTING SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE OWNER AND OR CONTACTING SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR EXACT.<br>10, PROF TO ANY CONTACTING THE OWNER AND OR CONFLICT. IN TENNESSEE IT IS A REQUIREMENT<br>REGARS IN EXCANTON WISTER TAKEN RFMM AND 100 VORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF<br>FINER EXCAVATION WISTER TAKEN RFMM ARE DO CONFLICT. DATA BIT FOR A<br>REGARS IN EXCAVATION WISTER TAKEN RFMM ARE DO CONFLICT. DATA BIT FOR A<br>REGARS IN EXCAVATION WISTER TAKEN RFMM ARE DO CONFLICT. DATA BIT FOR A<br>RESPONSE ON UNDER ATAVIDE COVERNING AGENCES MASS AND ARE APPROXIMATE AT<br>BEST THERE MAY BE UTILITES, THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS UNKNOWN TO THE<br>SUPLYOR.<br>12. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION IS FROM AN AREIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY<br>MARMARE LL CONTOR INFORMATION IS FROM AN AREIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY<br>MARMARE LL CONTOR INFORMATION IS FROM AN AREIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY<br>MARMARE LL CONTORING THE PREFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTERS OFFICE OF<br>WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE (ROWC).<br>14. SUPLY FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.<br>15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.<br>5. STEE BEN.<br>SITE BEN.<br>SATE MAR AND A CONTON AND A THE REFERENCES ARE MAD   | AND WAS ESTABLISH<br>THE DATE OF MARC<br>RECEIVERS. THE GRID<br>WERE DERIVED USI<br>REFERENCED TO THE<br>GEOID 12B. THE PO:<br>H=0.2'/V=0.2'. THE C<br>SURVEY CONTROL PC   | THE DATE OF A SHOW THE AVERAGE STATES THE DATE THAT WAS ACQUIRED OF<br>THE 24, 2020 UTILIZING TRIMBLE R8S OR R10 DUAL FREQUENC<br>COORDINATES OF THE SURVEY CONTROL POINT SHOWN HEREOF<br>NG A VRS NETWORK OF MULTIPLE TDOT CORS STATION<br>NORTH AMERICAN DATUM OF 1983, NAD 83 (2011) (EPOCH 2010<br>SITIONAL ACCURACY OF THE GPS VECTORS DOES NOT EXCEED<br>COMBINED GRID FACTOR OF 0.99990908 WAS CALCULATED A<br>DINT #1 AS SHOWN HEREON.  |
| 9. THIS SURVEYOR WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT, THEREFORE THE PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO THE FINDINGS OF A DETAILED TITLE SEARCH. 10. PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE KISTING GROUND ELEVATION, THE OWNER AND / OR CONTRACTOR SHOULD ASSUME PERSONSIBULTY OF CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR EXACT LOCATION OF UNDERGROUND GAS LIKES, TELEPHONE LINES, ELECTRIC CABLES, WATEP PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHEN PER THE UNDERGROUND UND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT. THAT ANYONE WHENCE PER THERE ANATION IND ANY DOSSIBLE HAZARD OR CONFLICT. DIAL BIT FOR A DIESS THATE ANY ANY BUT THE DATE OF DIESS THATE ANY BE UTILITY. THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS UNKNOWN TO THE SURVEYOR. 11. UTILITIES SHOWN WERE TAKEN FROM FIELD LOCATIONS THAT WERE APPROXIMATE AT SURVEYOR. 12. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION IS FROM AN AERIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY MAPMAKER, LLC. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 1. 13. ALL DEED & PROMETHANIC ACCENTRY INFORMATION ATT. 14. SURVEY HELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020. 15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.  5. THE BM: SRME CONTROL POINT 4 +, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THOMPROY STATION RD, ± 70 NORTHWEST OF THE CONTENLINE UNTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV B27.  PROJECT BM: NAVO BX (GFS DERIVED)  ELEV B27.  PROJECT BM: NAVO BX (GFS DERIVED)  ELEV B27.  PROJECT BM: NAVO BX (GFS DERI  | 8. THE PROPERTY<br>DETERMINED TO BE I<br>FIRM PANEL NUMBE<br>47119C0090E, DATEE<br>SEPTEMBER 29, 2006,<br>2006.  | NOES NOT LIE WITHIN THE 100 YEAR FLOOD PLAIN AND T<br>N ZONE "X" AS PER FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENC<br>ER 47119C0070E, DATED APRIL 16, 2007, FIRM PANEL NUMBEI<br>O APRIL 16, 2007, FIRM PANEL NUMBER 47187C0365F, DATEI<br>, AND FIRM PANEL NUMBER 47187C0345F, DATED SEPTEMBER 25  |
| ID. PROR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION. EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF THE<br>DISTING GROUPD ELEVATION THE OWNER AND / OR CONTRACTOR SHOULD ASSUME<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR EXACT<br>LINES, ETC. TO AVOID ANY HAZARD OR CONFLICT. IN TENNESSEE, IT IS A REQUIREMENT<br>PRE THE UNDERGROUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ASSUME<br>NO LESS THAN THREE (3) NOR MORE THAN IN/O WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF<br>THEIR EXAVATION TO AVOID ANY POSSIBLE HAZARD OR CONFLICT. DIAL BIT FOR A<br>DIE CALL CENTRE.         11. UTILITES SHOWN WERE TAKEN FROM FIELD LOCATIONS THAT WERE APPARENT AND<br>EEST. THERE (3) NOR OVERNING AGENCIES MAPS AND ARE APPROXIMATE AT<br>SURVEYOR.         12. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION IS FROM AN AERIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY<br>MAPMAKER LLC. CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 1.         13. ALL DEED & PLAT REFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTER'S OFFICE OF<br>WHICH IS ON ANY POSSIBLE FLORE AND AND ARE APPROXIMATE AT<br>SURVEYOR.         14. SURVEY FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.         15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.         IS THE BM-SRIME CONTROL POINT #1, PK NALL IN ASPHAIT ON THE NORTH SIDE<br>OF THOMESON'S STATION RD, ± 70 NORTHWEST OF THE CENTERLINE<br>INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD.<br>ELEV 827.92         INCLUCT BM-<br>NAVO 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         MERINA       / SU/<br>MIRRING AND COLUCTED HEAD AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD.<br>ELEV 827.92         INCLUCT BM-<br>NAVO 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         MERINA       / SU/<br>MIRRING AND COLUCTED HEAD AND HOMPSON'S STATION RD.<br>ELEV 827.92         INCLUCT BM-<br>NAVO 88 (GPS DERIVED)          LEGEND  | 9. THIS SURVEYOR V<br>PROPERTY IS SUBJECT  | WAS NOT PROVIDED WITH A TITLE COMMITMENT, THEREFORE TH<br>TO THE FINDINGS OF A DETAILED TITLE SEARCH.   |
| UNL OF CONCENTRATE     Second Se  | 10. PRIOR TO ANY<br>EXISTING GROUND EI<br>RESPONSIBILITY OF<br>LOCATION OF UNDEF<br>LINES, ETC. TO AVOID<br>PER "THE UNDERGRO<br>ENGAGES IN EXCAVA"<br>NO LESS THAN THREE<br>THEIR EXCAVATION T<br>ONE CALL CENTER | CONSTRUCTION, EXCAVATION OR ANY DISTURBANCE OF TH<br>LEVATION, THE OWNER AND / OR CONTRACTOR SHOULD ASSUM<br>CONTACTING THE LOCAL UTILITY AUTHORITIES FOR EXAC<br>RGROUND GAS LINES, TELEPHONE LINES, ELECTRIC CABLES, WATER<br>ANY HAZARD OR CONFLICT. IN TENNESSEE, IT IS A REQUIREMENT<br>DUND UTILITY DAMAGE PREVENTION ACT", THAT ANYONE WHO<br>TION MUST NOTIFY ALL KNOWN UNDERGROUND UTILITY OWNERS<br>E (3) NOR MORE THAN (10) WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO THE DATE O<br>TO AVOID ANY POSSIBLE HAZARD OR CONFLICT. DIAL 811 FOR A   |
| 12. TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION IS FROM AN AERIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED BY         MAPMAKER, LLC, CONTOUR INTERVAL IS 1.         13. ALL DEED & PLAT REFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTER'S OFFICE OF         WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENDESSEE (ROWC).         14. SURVEY FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.         15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK Nail IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUGKNER AND TO O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O   | 11. UTILITIES SHOWN<br>COPIED FROM APPRO<br>BEST. THERE MAY B<br>SURVEYOR.   | I WERE TAKEN FROM FIELD LOCATIONS THAT WERE APPARENT AND<br>OPRIATE GOVERNING AGENCIES MAPS AND ARE APPROXIMATE A<br>E UTILITIES, THE EXISTENCE OF WHICH IS UNKNOWN TO TH   |
| I.A. LU DED & PLAT REFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTER'S OFFICE OF         WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE (ROWC).         14. SURVEY FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.         15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.         ISTE BM: S&ME CONTROL POINT #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE<br>OF THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ± 70' NORTHWEST OF THE CENTERLINE<br>INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD.         PROJECT BM:<br>NAVD 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         PROJECT BM:<br>NAVD 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         MRCE NO         (MX)         MON ADD (JLD)         PROJECT BM:<br>NAVD 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         MRCE NO  | 12. TOPOGRAPHIC II<br>MAPMAKER II C CON  | NFORMATION IS FROM AN AERIAL TOPOGRAPHY PROVIDED B'   |
| 14. SURVEY FIELD DATA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.         15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.         ISITE BM: S&ME CONTROL POINT #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THEOMPSON'S STATION RD, ± 70' NORTHWEST OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEY: 827.92         IPROJECT BM: NAVD 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEEGEND         MOM PRO (CD)         MOM PRO (CD) <tr< td=""><td>13. ALL DEED &amp; P</td><td>LAT REFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTER'S OFFICE O</td></tr<>   | 13. ALL DEED & P   | LAT REFERENCES ARE MADE TO THE REGISTER'S OFFICE O  |
| 15. ROW DEDICATION IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.         Image: State Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: State Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: Row Base Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: Row Base Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTERLINE INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD. ELEV: 827.92         Image: Row Base Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTER INFE         Image: Row Base Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE CENTER INFE         Image: Row Base Control Point #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE SIDE OF THE CENTER INFE         Image: Row Base Control Point ELEVATION       + 999.9         RED COLLECED SPOT ELEVATION       + 999.9         RED COLLECED SPO   | 14. SURVEY FIELD DA  | TA COLLECTED ON APRIL 1, 2020.  |
| SITE EM: S&ME CONTROL POINT #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE<br>OF THOMPSON'S STATION RD, ± 70' NORTHWEST OF THE CENTERLINE<br>INTERSECTION OF BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD.<br>LEV: 827.92<br>PROJECT EM:<br>NAVD 88 (GPS DERIVED)   | 15. ROW DEDICATION   | N IS TO ACCOMMODATE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS.   |
| PROJECT BM:<br>NAND 88 (GPS DERIVED)         LEGEND         MARCE NO.       (XX)         NON ROD (GLD)       IRIO         NON ROD (GLD)       PK(2)         RODERT/LINE  | SITE BM: S&ME CO<br>OF THOMPSON'S S<br>INTERSECTION OF<br>ELEV: 827.92   | NTROL POINT #1, PK NAIL IN ASPHALT ON THE NORTH SIDE STATION RD, ± 70' NORTHWEST OF THE CENTERLINE BUCKNER LANE AND THOMPSON'S STATION RD.  |
| LEGEND         PARCEL NO,       (XX)         RON ROD (GLD)       MRO)         NON NOD (SET)       Image: Comparison of the compa  | PROJECT BM:<br>NAVD 88 (GPS DER  | (IVED)  |
| PARCE INO (VX) (XX) $IRON ROD (OLD) O RIO $ $IROV ROD (OLD) O RIO $ $IROV ROD (OLD) O RIO $ $IROV ROD (OLD) O P(O) $ $PROPERTY LINE O PR(O) $ $PROPERTY LINE O O O O $ $REE DRP LINE O $ $REE REE O $ $REE REE O $ $REE REE CONTOUR LINE O $ $REE REE REE CONTOUR LINE O $ $REE REE CONTOUR LINE O $ $REE REE REE REE CONTOUR LINE O $ $REE REE REE REE CONTOUR LINE O $ $REE REE REE REE REE REE REE REE REE REE$  | LEGEND   |   |
| IRON ROD (SET)       Image: Roll (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)         P K NAL (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)         PROPERTY LINE       Image: Roll (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)         PROPERTY LINE       Image: Roll (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)         PROPERTY LINE       Image: Roll (OLD)       Image: Roll (OLD)         Recal LOLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       X 999.9         FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       Y 99.9         FIELD FLOW REALINE       Image: FIEL PLONE         FIELEPHONE RISER       Image: FIEL PLONE         FIELEPHONE RISER       Image: FIEL PL  | PARCEL NO.<br>IRON ROD (OLD)   | $(xx)$ $ \bigcirc IR(O) $   |
| P K NAUL (OLD)       P K(O)         PROPERTY LINE       X         FENCE LINE       X         GUARDRAIL       O       O         CONTOUR LINE       -       -         AFRINL COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       X 999.9         FRID COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       + 999.9         OVERHEAD POWER LINE       O         STORM SEWER LINE       15"S T         GAS LINE       2"G         STORM SEWER LINE       0         GAS LINE       2"G         STORM SEWER LINE       0         GAS LINE       0         GUARDRAIL       0         GAS LINE       0         ILECTRIC RESER       0         ELECTRIC RISER       ER         ELECTRIC ROX       EBOX         ELECTRIC ROX       E         GUARDRAIL       Ø         SANTARY SEWER MAINHOLE       Ø         SANTARY SEWER MAINHOLE   | IRON ROD (SET)<br>IRON PIPE (OLD)  | <ul> <li>●</li> <li>●</li></ul> |
| FENCE LINE X   GUARDRAIL O   GUARDRAIL O   ONTOUR LINE   CONTOUR LINE   AERIAL COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION   X 999.9   FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION   Y 999.9   FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION   X 999.9   FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION   Y Y   MATER LINE O   T T   FIELD FIELD COLLECTER   FIELEFIEL CON   LIECTINC MARTER   FIELEFIEL CON   LIECTINC MARTER   GUY WINE   C   GUY WINE   C   GUY WINE   GUY WINE   GUY WINE   GUY WINE   GUY WINE    GUY WINE   | P K NAIL (OLD)<br>PROPERTY LINE  | <i>PK(O)</i>  |
| GUARDRAIL       0       0       0         TREE DRIP LINE       -       -500-       -         CONTOUR LINE       -       -500-       -         AERIAL COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       × 999.9       -         FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION       + 999.9         OVERHEAD POWER LINE       •       •         STORM SEWER LINE       15"S T       -         GAS LINE       2"G       -         SIGN POST       0       -         TELEPHONE MANHOLE       0"       -         TELEPHONE RASER       0 FR         ELECTRIC RISER       0 F         SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE       0 F         STORM SEWER MANHOLE       0 F   | FENCE LINE   | X   |
| AERNAL CONTOUR LINE500  | GUARDRAIL  | <del>-0 0 0 0</del>   |
| AERIAL COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION $\times$ 999.9FIELD COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATION $+$ 99.9OVERHEAD POWER LINE $\cdot \cdot $   | CONTOUR LINE   |   |
| OVERHEAD POWER LINE       · · · · · · · OH · · · · · · ·         STORM SEWER LINE       15" ST         WATER LINE       15" ST         GAS LINE       2" G         GAS LINE       2" G         SIGN POST       T         TELEPHONE MANHOLE       T         TELEPHONE RISER       TR         ELECTRIC RISER       T         UTILITY POLE       C         UTILITY POLE       C         SIGN POSE       Ø         SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE       Ø         STORM SEWER MANHOLE       Ø         GAS VALVE       I         FIRE HYDRANT       I         WATER VALVE       I  | AERIAL COLLECTED SPOT ELEVATI<br>FIELD COLLECTED SPOT FI FVATIC  | 70N × 999.9<br>N + 999.9  |
| STORM SEWER LINE  | OVERHEAD POWER LINE  | • • • • • <i>OH</i> • • • • •   |
| GAS LINE 2"G   GAS LINE 2"G   SIGN POST T   TELEPHONE MANHOLE ()   TELEPHONE RISER © TR   TELEPHONE BOX TBOX   ELECTRIC RISER © ER   ELECTRIC RISER © ER   ELECTRIC RISER © E   UTILITY POLE C.   UTILITY POLE C.   UTILITY POLE Ø   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE Ø   STORM SEVER MANHOLE Ø   GAS VALVE Ø   FIRE HYDRANT Q   WATER VALVE W  | STORM SEWER LINE<br>WATER LINE   |   |
| SIGN POST <ul> <li>TELEPHONE MANHOLE</li> <li>TELEPHONE MANHOLE</li> <li>TELEPHONE RISER</li> <li>TR</li> </ul> TELEPHONE BOX <ul> <li>TBOX</li> </ul> ELECTRIC RISER <ul> <li>ER</li> <li>ELECTRIC BOX</li> <li>EBOX</li> <li>EBOX</li> <li>ELECTRIC METER</li> <li>E</li> <li>UTILITY POLE</li> <li>C</li> <li>C</li> <li>GUY WIRE</li> <li>F</li> <li>GUY WIRE</li> <li>SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE</li> <li>S</li> <li>STORM SEWER MANHOLE</li> <li>S</li> <li>GAS VALVE</li> <li>WATER VALVE</li> <li>WATER VALVE</li> </ul>  | GAS LINE   |   |
| ItLEPHONE MANHOLE (T)   TELEPHONE RISER $\otimes$ TR   TELEPHONE BOX I TBOX   ELECTRIC RISER $\bigotimes$ ER   ELECTRIC BOX I EBOX   ELECTRIC METER []   UTILITY POLE $\bigcirc$ GUY WIRE $\leftarrow$ TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE $\emptyset$ SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE []   GUS STORM SEWER MANHOLE   GAS VALVE $\bigcirc$ HIRE HYDRANT $\bigcirc$ WATER VALVE $\bigvee$   | SIGN POST  | $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$   |
| TELEPHONE BOX I TBOX   ELECTRIC RISER I EBOX   ELECTRIC BOX I EBOX   ELECTRIC METER I   UTILITY POLE I   UTILITY POLE I   GUY WIRE I   FRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE I   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE I   GAS VALVE I   FRE HYDRANT I   WATER VALVE I   | TELEPHONE MANHOLE<br>TELEPHONE RISER   | (J)<br>$\otimes$ TR   |
| ELECTRIC RISER Ser   ELECTRIC BOX IBOX   ELECTRIC METER F   UTILITY POLE S   LIGHT POLE S   GUY WIRE ←   TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE S   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE S   CATCH BASIN I   GAS VALVE S   FIRE HYDRANT S   WATER VALVE I  | TELEPHONE BOX  | TBOX  |
| ELECTRIC METER E   ELECTRIC METER E   UTILITY POLE C   LIGHT POLE C   GUY WIRE C   TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE Ø   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE O   STORM SEWER MANHOLE Ø   GATCH BASIN E   GAS VALVE ÍV   FIRE HYDRANT Q   WATER VALVE ÍV  | ELECTRIC RISER   | S ER  |
| UTLITY POLE  LIGHT POLE  LIGHT POLE  GUY WIRE  GUY WIRE  FRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE  SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE  SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE  STORM SEWER MANHOLE  GAS VALVE  FIRE HYDRANT  WATER VALVE   | ELECTRIC DOX   | E E   |
| LIGHT POLE ♥   GUY WIRE ●   TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE Ø   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE ③   STORM SEWER MANHOLE ●   CATCH BASIN ■   GAS VALVE ●   FIRE HYDRANT ●   WATER VALVE ●   | UTILITY POLE   |   |
| TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE   SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE   STORM SEWER MANHOLE   O   CATCH BASIN   GAS VALVE   FIRE HYDRANT   WATER VALVE   | LIGHT POLE<br>GUY WIRE   | ф.  |
| SANITARY SEWER MANHOLE S STORM SEWER MANHOLE CATCH BASIN GAS VALVE FIRE HYDRANT WATER VALVE   | TRAFFIC SIGNAL POLE  | $\angle$  |
| STORM SEWER MANHOLE   CATCH BASIN   GAS VALVE   FIRE HYDRANT   WATER VALVE  | CANUTADY CONTENT   | $\leftarrow \  \                                $   |
| GAS VALVE     Image: Constraint of the second   | SAIVITARY SEWER MANHOLE  | ←<br>Ø<br>③   |
| FIRE HYDRANT OC   | SAIVITARY SEWER MANHOLE<br>STORM SEWER MANHOLE<br>CATCH RASINI   | ←<br>ダ<br>③<br>□  |
| WATER VALVE   | SAWLIARY SEWER MANHOLE<br>STORM SEWER MANHOLE<br>CATCH BASIN<br>GAS VALVE  | <ul> <li>✓</li> <li>Ø</li> <li>Ø</li> <li>■</li> <li><sup>≤</sup><sup>∨</sup></li> </ul>  |
|   | SAWLIAKY SEWER MANHOLE<br>STORM SEWER MANHOLE<br>CATCH BASIN<br>GAS VALVE<br>FIRE HYDRANT  | $ \begin{array}{c} \leftarrow \\ \varnothing \\ \hline \bigcirc \\ \hline \bigcirc \\ \hline \cr \\ \swarrow \\ \swarrow \\ \swarrow \\ \swarrow \\ \end{array} $   |

*26,088 SQUARE FEET 0.60 ACRES* 

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_4.jpeg)

| <br> | <br> |
|------|------|
|      |      |
|      |      |
|      |      |

(IN FEET)

| 1          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| AREA TABLE |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SQ. FT.    | ACRES   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1476776    | 33.90   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 640513     | 14.70   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26088      | 0.60  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 541901     | 12.44   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2685278    | 61.65   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | A TABLE<br>SQ. FT.<br>1476776<br>640513<br>26088<br>541901<br>2685278 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

![](_page_47_Picture_8.jpeg)

658 GRASSMERE PARK DRIVE SUITE 100 NASHVILLE, TN 37212 (615) 385-4144 ENGINEERING FIRM LICENSE NUMBER: F-0176

# 2660 BUCKNER LANE LOTS A & D PRELIMINARY PLAT SPRING HILL, 2ND DISTRICT, MAURY COUNTY, TENNESSEE TOTAL AREA: <u>2,685,278 SQFT (61.65 AC</u>) TOTAL LOTS: \_ DATE: <u>10-05-2020</u> REV: <u>10-19-2020</u> REV: <u>11-02-2020</u>

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

<sub>×</sub>772.4

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

JANUARY 18, 2021

RPM Transportation Consultants | 1101 17th Avenue South | Nashville, TN 37212 | main: 615.370.8410 | www.rpmtraffic.net

## <u>MEMORANDUM</u>

| То:   | Jon Baughman; Associate Planner, City of Spring Hill<br>Tom Wolf; City Engineer, City of Spring Hill |
|-------|--|
| From: | Amy Burch, P.E.<br>Bob Murphy, P.E.  |
| Re:   | 2660 Buckner Lane Rezoning TIS – Addendum  |
| Date: | January 5, 2017  |

This memorandum is intended to provide supplemental information to the Traffic Impact Study for the proposed 2660 Buckner Lane Rezoning request, which was prepared by RPM Transportation Consultants, LLC and dated 12/14/16. Specifically, we would like to provide clarifications regarding the existing traffic operations and transportation infrastructure in the study area.

## **Existing Conditions Analysis**

Table 1 below presents the capacity analysis results for the study intersections just as is presented in the original TIS. As shown, several intersections and/or stop-controlled approaches operate poorly during peak hours, which indicates existing transportation infrastructure deficiencies. Specifically, the northbound approach of Buckner Lane at its intersection with Thompson's Station Road E operates poorly with extreme delays and queues during the peak hours. Similarly, the eastbound approach of Buckner Road at its intersection with Buckner Lane operates poorly with long delays and queues, particularly during the AM peak hour. The poor operation at these two intersections is attributed to the highly directional commuter travel patterns and the lack of turn lanes and appropriate traffic control at intersections.

The streets providing access to the two schools on the east side of Buckner Lane also experience long delay and queues due to the volume of traffic entering and exiting the schools and traveling through on Buckner Lane. However, the capacity analyses do not reflect the operational benefits of providing a traffic control officer at Twin Lakes Drive and Spring Station Drive to help direct traffic entering and exiting the schools.

|      |   |                         | AM PEAK HOUR |                                       |                                 |     | PM PEAK HOUR                          |                                 |  |  |
|------|---|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| NO.  | INTERSECTION  | TURNING<br>MOVEMENT     | LOS          | Average<br>Control Delay<br>(sec/veh) | 95th-%<br>Queue<br>Length (veh) | LOS | Average<br>Control Delay<br>(sec/veh) | 95th-%<br>Queue<br>Length (veh) |  |  |
| 1    | Buckner Lane &  | Northbound<br>Approach  | F            | 429.9                                 | 62.0                            | F   | 2218.1                                | 32.5                            |  |  |
|      | Station Road E  | Westbound<br>Left Turn  | А            | 8.1                                   | 0.7                             | С   | 20.1                                  | 7.3                             |  |  |
| 2    | Buckner Lane &  | Northbound<br>Left Turn | А            | 8.6                                   | 0.0                             | В   | 11.9                                  | 0.3                             |  |  |
| 2    | Westchester Lane  | Eastbound<br>Approach   | F            | 227.4                                 | 16.7                            | F   | 51.8                                  | 2.3                             |  |  |
| 3    | Buckner Lane &<br>Buckner Road  | Northbound<br>Left Turn | А            | 9.0                                   | 0.6                             | В   | 13.5                                  | 1.1                             |  |  |
|      |   | Eastbound<br>Approach   | F            | 597.2                                 | 27.4                            | F   | 107.8                                 | 8.1                             |  |  |
|      | Buckner Lane &<br>Twin Lakes Drive  | Westbound<br>Left Turn  | F            | 1860.6                                | 13.7                            | С   | 19.1                                  | 0.2                             |  |  |
| 4    |   | Westbound<br>Right Turn | С            | 15.1                                  | 2.2                             | В   | 10.3                                  | 0.2                             |  |  |
|      |   | Southbound<br>Left Turn | В            | 12.6                                  | 4.0                             | А   | 8.0                                   | 0.1                             |  |  |
| 5    | Buckner Lane &<br>Spring Station<br>Drive   | Westbound<br>Left Turn  | F            | 75.4                                  | 2.2                             | E   | 39.6                                  | 3.4                             |  |  |
|      |   | Westbound<br>Right Turn | D            | 25.6                                  | 4.1                             | В   | 10.6                                  | 0.2                             |  |  |
|      |   | Southbound<br>Left Turn | В            | 10.8                                  | 1.3                             | А   | 8.0                                   | 0.1                             |  |  |
| Note | Note: For two-way stop-controlled intersections an LOS is presented for each critical movement. |                         |              |                                       |                                 |     |                                       |                                 |  |  |

## TABLE 1: EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE

## Existing Conditions Analysis with Needed Improvements

Additional analyses have been conducted to determine the roadway and intersection improvements needed to mitigate the existing deficiencies in the study area. The following improvements are needed to provide acceptable levels of service for the existing traffic volumes in the study area.

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Thompson's Station Road East

- Widen Thompson's Station Road East in order to provide a westbound left turn lane with approximately 500 feet of storage plus taper.
- Widen Thompson's Station Road East to provide an eastbound right turn lane with approximately 300 feet of storage plus taper.
- Widen Buckner Lane to provide a northbound right turn lane with approximately 300 feet of storage plus taper.
- Install traffic signal control with permissive/protected left turn signal phasing for westbound Thompson's Station Road East.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Westchester Lane

- Modify the eastbound approach of Westchester Lane to provide separate left and right turn lanes utilizing the median.
- Widen Buckner Lane to provide a northbound left turn deceleration lane with approximately 150 feet of storage plus taper.
- Widen Buckner Lane to provide a southbound right turn deceleration lane with approximately 150 feet of storage plus taper.

A deceleration lane is warranted for northbound left turns on Buckner Lane at Westchester Lane based on Harmelink methodology presented in *Volume Warrants for Left-Turn Storage Lanes at Unsignalized Intersections* and the existing traffic volumes. A deceleration lane is warranted for southbound right turns on Buckner Lane at Westchester Lane based on methodology presented in the *Intersection Channelization Design Guide (NCHRP 279)* and the existing traffic volumes.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Buckner Road

- Widen Buckner Lane to provide a northbound left turn lane with approximately 200 feet of storage plus taper.
- Widen Buckner Lane to provide a southbound right turn lane with approximately 300 feet of storage plus taper.
- Install traffic signal control with protected/permissive left turn signal phasing for the northbound approach of Buckner Lane.

Table 2 below presents the results of the capacity analyses of the existing AM and PM peak hour volumes including roadway improvements identified above, which are needed in order to achieve acceptable levels of service for three of the study intersections.

In addition, it is recommended to provide or continue to provide a traffic control officer at the school access points on Buckner Lane during the morning arrival and afternoon dismissal on a regular basis in order to help facilitate turning movements entering and exiting the schools at Twin Lakes Drive and Spring Station Drive.

![](_page_52_Picture_17.jpeg)

## TABLE 2: EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE WITH ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

|  |  | TURNING<br>MOVEMENT      | AM PEAK HOUR |                                       |                                 |     | PM PEAK HOUR                          |                                 |  |  |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| NO.  | INTERSECTION   |                          | LOS          | Average<br>Control Delay<br>(sec/veh) | 95th-%<br>Queue<br>Length (veh) | LOS | Average<br>Control Delay<br>(sec/veh) | 95th-%<br>Queue<br>Length (veh) |  |  |
|  | Buckner Lane &<br>Thompson's<br>Station Road E<br>(Signalized) | Eastbound<br>Through     | С            | 21.0                                  | 0.3                             | С   | 20.9                                  | 2.4                             |  |  |
|  |  | Eastbound<br>Right Turn  | С            | 24.2                                  | 0.8                             | F   | 95.8                                  | 11                              |  |  |
|  |  | Westbound<br>Left Turn   | В            | 17.2                                  | 3.7                             | В   | 14.3                                  | 18.1                            |  |  |
| 1  |  | Westbound<br>Through     | В            | 11.8                                  | 1.2                             | А   | 4.4                                   | 0.7                             |  |  |
|  |  | Northbound<br>Left Turn  | В            | 19.2                                  | 9.7                             | С   | 21.5                                  | 2.4                             |  |  |
|  |  | Northbound<br>Right Turn | В            | 19.8                                  | 1.2                             | А   | 7.8                                   | 0.6                             |  |  |
|  |  | Overall<br>Intersection  | В            | 19.2                                  |                                 | D   | 43.4                                  |                                 |  |  |
|  | Buckner Lane &<br>Westchester Lane                             | Northbound<br>Left Turn  | А            | 8.6                                   | 0.0                             | В   | 11.2                                  | 0.2                             |  |  |
| 2  |  | Eastbound<br>Left Turn   | F            | 175.5                                 | 11.4                            | E   | 45.6                                  | 1.6                             |  |  |
|  |  | Eastbound<br>Right Turn  | В            | 13.0                                  | 0.6                             | С   | 20.4                                  | 0.2                             |  |  |
|  | Buckner Lane &<br>Buckner Road<br>(Signalized)                 | Eastbound<br>Approach    | С            | 23.6                                  | 4.8                             | D   | 44.2                                  | 2.3                             |  |  |
|  |  | Northbound<br>Left Turn  | В            | 11.8                                  | 2                               | А   | 9.4                                   | 1.3                             |  |  |
| 2  |  | Northbound<br>Through    | А            | 8.4                                   | 6.3                             | А   | 4.0                                   | 1.8                             |  |  |
| 3  |  | Southbound<br>Through    | С            | 20.2                                  | 6.3                             | В   | 13.3                                  | 8.9                             |  |  |
|  |  | Southbound<br>Right Turn | В            | 12.6                                  | 0                               | С   | 22.8                                  | 1.8                             |  |  |
|  |  | Overall<br>Intersection  | В            | 15.7                                  |                                 | В   | 18.5                                  |                                 |  |  |
| Note: For signalized intersections, an overall LOS is presented. For two-way stop-controlled intersections an LOS is presented for each critical movement. |  |                          |              |                                       |                                 |     |                                       |                                 |  |  |

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

## **Conclusion**

As demonstrated by the analyses presented in the original TIS and this addendum memo, the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes in the study area generally exceed the available roadway and intersection capacity, which results in extreme delays and queues experienced at specific locations/turning movements and contributing to longer travel times through the study area. Therefore, significant roadway infrastructure improvements are needed under the existing conditions without the addition of any new traffic generated by the proposed rezoning development. The analyses show that the existing intersection operations can be significantly improved to generally acceptable levels of service by constructing turn lanes at intersections and installing traffic signals at Buckner Lane and Thompson's Station Road East and at Buckner Lane and Buckner Road as previously detailed.

2660 BUCKNER LANE REZONING TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY Prepared by: RPM Transportation Consultants, LLC

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## **Project Description**

The purpose of this study is to analyze the traffic impacts associated with the 2660 Buckner Lane Master Plan as well as address the initial phases of development. The property is located on the east side of Buckner Lane between Thompson's Station Road East and Spring Station Drive in Spring Hill, Tennessee. The proposed mixed-use development will be developed in several phases, which is expected to occur over a 20-year period. The Buckner Lane Property master plan includes a mix of land uses including residential (single and multifamily), retail, restaurant, office, and hotel.

The property totals approximately 781 acres. It is bounded on the west by Buckner Lane, single family homes, and two schools. The property is bounded on the east by Interstate 65, on the north by Thompson's Station Road East, on the south by existing single-family development. The property is currently farm land and zoned agricultural.

Over the past couple of years, the City of Spring Hill has undertaken three transportation planning studies to identify and plan for future transportation improvements in the vicinity of the project site. These studies include an Interchange Access Request for I-65, a study of Buckner Lane between Thompson's Station Road East and Duplex Road (State Route 247), and a study of Buckner Road between Buckner Lane and I-65. While these studies have not been finalized, all include assumptions for increased density and a mixture of land uses for the 2660 Buckner Lane Property.

In this study, the current operating characteristics of the adjacent roadways and intersections in the vicinity of the project site are evaluated. The expected trips generated by the proposed development are determined and distributed to the roadway network based on the development master plan, which includes phasing of the mixed-use development program and the anticipated street network through the site. The adjacent roadways and intersections are then reevaluated to determine the anticipated traffic impacts of the project. Finally, recommendations are presented, including roadway improvements and/or traffic control improvements that are needed to accommodate the expected traffic.

## **Data Collection**

In order to provide data for the traffic impact analysis, manual traffic counts were obtained for the following intersections:

- Buckner Lane & Thompson's Station Road East
- Buckner Lane & Westchester Lane
- Buckner Lane & Buckner Road
- Buckner Lane & Twin Lakes Drive
- Buckner Lane & Spring Station Drive

The City of Spring Hill provided traffic volume data that was collected for the City's transportation studies that are underway. The traffic data that was provided by the City was supplemented by RPM Transportation Consultants, specifically for the intersection of Buckner Lane and Westchester Lane. The existing peak hour traffic volumes show that the study area experiences heavy commuter traffic flows in the northbound direction during the AM peak hour and in the southbound direction during the PM peak hour. Additionally, the two schools located on the east side of Buckner Lane south of Buckner Road generate high volumes of AM peak hour traffic entering and exiting the schools.

## Projection of Future Traffic Volumes

As previously mentioned, this study evaluates three scenarios of development of the master plan in order to identify the amount of traffic expected to be generated as the development builds out as well as to determine the roadway improvements necessary to accommodate the development. The three scenarios include 1) Phase 1, 2) Phases 1 and 2, and 3) full buildout, which includes Phases 1 – 5. It is assumed that Phases 1 and 2 would be constructed prior to the construction of an I-65 Interchange, and the remaining phases of development would not commence until an I-65 Interchange is constructed. Therefore, the Full Buildout scenario assumes the I-65 Interchange is in place and Buckner Road is extended to I-65 and further east to Lewisburg Pike. The Full Buildout of the property is anticipated to occur over a 20-year horizon. Table 1 presents the development program for the three scenarios. Development of the property is expected to begin on the west side of the property along Buckner Lane and expand to the east and south with the later phases.

| DEVELOPMENT<br>SCENARIO | PHASE(S) | Single-<br>Family<br>(d.u.) | Multi-<br>Family<br>(d.u.) | Retail/<br>Restaurant<br>(s.f.) | Office<br>(s.f.) | Hotel<br>(rooms) | HORIZON<br>YEAR |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Scenario 1              | 1        | 159                         | -                          | 280,962                         |                  |                  | 2021            |
| Scenario 2              | 1&2      | 342                         | 1,238                      | 751,410                         |                  |                  | 2026            |
| Scenario 3              | 1 – 5    | 774                         | 2,152                      | 1,281,862                       | 3,902,250        | 400              | 2037            |
| FULL BUILDOUT           |          | 774                         | 2,152                      | 1,281,862                       | 3,902,250        | 400              | 2037            |

## TABLE 1: DEVELOPMENT SCENARIOS

\*Development program provided by Southeast Venture, LLC

It is important to note that the land uses and sizes in Table 1 represent the expected maximum development intensity for the master plan and rezoning request; however, market demand may result in variations in sizes.

A traffic generation process was used to estimate the amount of traffic expected to be generated by the proposed project for the three development scenarios. Factors for the trip generation were taken from ITE's Trip Generation, Ninth Edition. The proposed 2660 Buckner Lane property rezoning will allow for a mix of land uses at relatively high density. Based on information provided by Southeast Venture, LLC, Phase 1 is expected to include single-family residential lots and commercial retail and restaurant land uses. The total of Phases 1 and 2 is expected to include single-family and multi-family residential units as well as commercial retail and restaurant land uses. The Full Buildout of the master plan is expected to include single-family and multi-family units, commercial retail and restaurant land uses.

Data presented in the ITE publication, Trip Generation Handbook, show that developments containing multiple land uses will commonly have internal trips. A process was used to estimate the number of internal trips that can be expected between land uses for the three development scenarios. Given the development's size, it is important to note that the internal trips may still occur as vehicular trips between land uses within the development along the internal street network, and the intention of the internal capture rate is to account for traffic generated by the development that will not be external traffic on the existing street network.

Studies have shown that most new retail and restaurant developments generate relatively little "new" traffic. The traffic volumes entering and exiting new retail sites are usually either captured ("pass-by") trips from the adjacent street or diverted trips

from streets serving other destinations. This traffic will be on the roadway system and will be passing by the site even if the proposed development is not constructed. Data presented in the Trip Generation Handbook was utilized to estimate pass-by traffic expected for the retail and restaurant uses.

Conservatively, no reductions were applied for walking, biking, or transit. Though given the close proximity to existing residential developments and two schools as well as the network of sidewalks, greenways and bikeways planned as part of the development, some external trips are expected to be accomplished by walking and biking.

Table 2 presents the daily, AM, and PM peak hour trip generation for each of the three scenarios of the proposed development. As shown, Scenario 1 of the 2660 Buckner Lane mixed-use development can be expected to generate approximately 11,557 new vehicle trips per day. The AM and PM peak hour trip generations for Scenario 1 will equal approximately 600 and 888 new trips, respectively. These trips represent the new traffic that will be generated by Scenario 1. As shown in Table 2, Scenario 2 of the development can be expected to generate approximately 31,731 new vehicle trips per day. The AM and PM peak hour trip generations for Scenario 2 will equal approximately 1,849 and 2,004 new trips, respectively. These trips represent the new traffic that will be generated by the buildout of Phases 1 and 2. Scenario 3, which includes the full buildout of the development master plan, is expected to generate approximately 68,719 new vehicle trips per day. The AM and PM peak hour trip generations for the full buildout of the 2660 Buckner Lane mixed-use development will equal approximately 5,315 and 6,902 new trips, respectively.

|   |                | GENERATED TRAFFIC |         |       |       |       |  |  |  |
|---|----------------|-------------------|---------|-------|-------|-------|--|--|--|
| LAND USE  | SIZE           | DAILY             | AM PEAK |       | PM P  | 'EAK  |  |  |  |
|   |                | TRAFFIC           | Enter   | Exit  | Enter | Exit  |  |  |  |
| SCENARIO 1 – PHASE 1  |                |                   |         |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| Retail (LUC 820)  | 252,866 s.f.   | 7,912             | 156     | 89    | 350   | 367   |  |  |  |
| Restaurant (LUC 932)  | 28,096 s.f.    | 2,277             | 135     | 121   | 80    | 37    |  |  |  |
| Residential Single-Family (LUC 210)   | 159 d.u.       | 1,368             | 27      | 72    | 34    | 20    |  |  |  |
| SCENARI   | 11,557         | 318               | 282     | 464   | 424   |       |  |  |  |
| SCEN  | 11,557         | 6                 | 00      | 88    | 8     |       |  |  |  |
| SCENARIO 2 – PHASES 1 & 2   |                |                   |         |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| Retail (LUC 820)  | 676,296 s.f.   | 15,997            | 278     | 161   | 648   | 561   |  |  |  |
| Restaurant (LUC 932)  | 75,141 s.f.    | 6,497             | 333     | 331   | 202   | 98    |  |  |  |
| Residential Single-Family (LUC 210)   | 342 d.u.       | 2,767             | 58      | 160   | 91    | 61    |  |  |  |
| Residential Multifamily (LUC 220 & 230)   | 1,238 d.u.     | 6,470             | 110     | 418   | 214   | 129   |  |  |  |
| SCENARIO  | 31,731         | 779               | 1,070   | 1,155 | 849   |       |  |  |  |
| SCEN  | 31,731         | 1,8               | 349     | 2,0   | 04    |       |  |  |  |
| SCEN  | ARIO 3 - FULL  | BUILDOUT          |         |       |       |       |  |  |  |
| Office (LUC 710)  | 3,902,250 s.f. | 18,073            | 2,697   | 135   | 688   | 3,419 |  |  |  |
| Retail (LUC 820)  | 1,153,676 s.f. | 21,221            | 253     | 148   | 741   | 685   |  |  |  |
| Restaurant (LUC 932)  | 128,186 s.f.   | 10,390            | 393     | 378   | 284   | 118   |  |  |  |
| Residential Single-Family (LUC 210)   | 774 d.u.       | 5,866             | 130     | 313   | 193   | 140   |  |  |  |
| Residential Multifamily (LUC 220 & 230)   | 2,152 d.u.     | 10,443            | 170     | 576   | 316   | 206   |  |  |  |
| Hotel (LUC 310)   | 400 rooms      | 2,726             | 120     | 2     | 51    | 61    |  |  |  |
| SCENARIO  | 68,719         | 3,763             | 1,552   | 2,273 | 4,629 |       |  |  |  |
| SCEN  | 68,719         | 5,                | 315     | 6,9   | 02    |       |  |  |  |
| Note: Calculations above represent only new traffic generated by the project site. Internal and pass-by trips are |                |                   |         |       |       |       |  |  |  |

## TABLE 2: DEVELOPMENT TRIP GENERATION

Source: Trip Generation, Ninth Edition

not included in the numbers above.

Directional distributions of traffic generated by the property were developed for each land use for the three scenarios. As previously mentioned, it is assumed that a Buckner Road will be extended to Lewisburg Pike to the east and will include an interchange with I-65 prior to Full Buildout of the development. However, an interchange is not assumed to be in place for the initial phases of development. For Scenario 1 (Phase 1) and Scenario 2 (Phase 1 and Phase 2), the directional distributions generally reflect the existing travel patterns developed from the existing peak hour traffic volumes. The master plan of the development includes an extensive and connected internal street network, which is assumed to be constructed as adjacent phases are developed in order to provide access and circulation for the development phases. The directional distributions were used to assign the AM and PM peak hour traffic generations to the street network. Capacity analyses were conducted for the study intersections for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 to determine the projected operations of the intersections during the AM and PM peak hours as well as to identify necessary transportation infrastructure improvements for each respective scenario. Capacity analyses were not conducted for Scenario 3 (Full Buildout) due to the unknown regional shift in traffic that will occur with the construction of a new interchange. Those capacity analyses will be included in the City's transportation planning studies, which have a broader scope, using information and data presented in this traffic impact study.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

The 2660 Buckner Lane Property is located at the northeastern corner of the City of Spring Hill, Tennessee. The applicant is seeking rezoning in order to develop the property as a Gateway Planned zoning district that will allow the highest intensity of development within the Spring Hill community with a variety of land uses, which is consistent with the City's *Spring Hill Rising 2040 Plan*. This traffic impact study evaluates the proposed development and resulting traffic generation at Phase 1, Phase 2, and Full-buildout. The analyses presented in this study were utilized to determine the transportation improvements necessary to accommodate the traffic generated by Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the development prior to the construction of the anticipated I-65 interchange and Buckner Road Extension. The following specific transportation improvements are recommended in order to accommodate the existing and development traffic at Phase 1 and Phase 2:

## PHASE 1

## Buckner Lane

- Realign Buckner Lane between Thompson's Station Road East and Buckner Road. A major goal of this realignment is to improve the existing sight distance restriction along Buckner Lane south of Thompson's Station Road East, which is currently restricted due to vertical curvature. The design of Buckner Lane should consider realigning the street to the east of the hilltop at the northwest corner of the property, so that adequate sight distance can be provided.
- Widen Buckner Lane between Thompson's Station Road East and Buckner Road to provide a minimum of two travel lanes in each direction with a center two-

way left-turn lane or landscaped median to accommodate left turn lanes where needed.

• The Buckner Lane improvements should include bike lanes and sidewalks on both sides.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Thompson's Station Road East

- Widen Thompson's Station Road East in order to provide a westbound left turn lane.
- Widen Thompson's Station Road East in order to provide an eastbound right turn lane with channelization to an added lane on Buckner Lane in the southbound direction.
- Install traffic signal control with permissive/protected left turn signal phasing for Thompson's Station Road East.
- Bicycle treatments and pedestrian facilities should be considered in the design of the intersection geometry and traffic signal.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Westchester Lane/Residential Loop

- Extend Westchester Lane to intersect with the realigned Buckner Lane, and reconstruct the Westchester Lane approach to include a separate eastbound left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane.
- Align the proposed new residential street with Westchester Lane. The design of the new residential street should include a separate westbound left turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane at the intersection.
- Stop-control should be provided for the eastbound and westbound approaches of Westchester Lane and the new residential street.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Buckner Road

- Construct a southbound left turn lane on Buckner Lane.
- The outside southbound through lane should be signed and pavement marked as a right turn lane at this intersection.
- Construct a northbound left turn lane on Buckner Lane.
- Construct a second northbound through lane on Buckner Lane.
- Extend Buckner Road east of Buckner Lane to provide access to the Phase 1 parcels and internal street network.
- At a minimum, the westbound approach of Buckner Road Extension should include one left turn lane, one through lane, and one right turn lane.
- Install traffic signal control with protected/permissive left turn signal phasing for the northbound and southbound approaches of Buckner Lane.
- Bicycle treatments and pedestrian facilities should be considered in the design of the intersection geometry and traffic signal.

These transportation network improvements are recommended to be constructed in order to accommodate Phase 1 of the master plan; however, it may be appropriate to construct the improvements in more discreet phases depending on the order that parcels within Phase 1 come online. These improvements will provide acceptable traffic operations through the completion of Phase 1.

## PHASE 2

In addition to the transportation improvements that are identified for Phase 1, the following improvements are recommended to be constructed by the development if not previously constructed by other parties prior to the completion of Phase 2 of the development program (as analyzed in this TIS or a development plan of similar density):

## Buckner Lane

• Buckner Lane should be widened between Buckner Road and Duplex Road per the City's *Buckner Lane Study*, which recommends providing two travel lanes in each direction and a center two-way left-turn lane or raised median with turn lanes at intersections.

## Buckner Road

- Buckner Road should be widened between Buckner Lane and Columbia Pike per the City's *Buckner Road Study*, which recommends providing two travel lanes in each direction and left turn lanes at intersections where deemed appropriate.
- Buckner Road should be extended east of Buckner Lane to provide access to the Phase 2 parcels and internal street network.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Westchester Lane/Residential Loop

- Install traffic signal control when a traffic study indicates signal warrants are met per the MUTCD.
- The eastbound approach of Westchester Lane and the westbound approach of Residential Loop should include one left turn lane and one shared through/right turn lane.
- The northbound approach of Buckner Lane should include one left turn lane, one through lane, and one shared through/right turn lane.
- The southbound approach of Buckner Lane should include one left turn lane, two through lanes, and one right turn lane.

Intersection of Buckner Lane and Buckner Road/Buckner Road Extension

• All four approaches to the intersection should include one left turn lane, two through lanes, and one right turn lane.

## Intersection of Buckner Lane and Twin Lakes Drive

• Install traffic signal control when a traffic study indicates signal warrants are met per the MUTCD.

## Intersection of Buckner Lane and Spring Station Drive

• Install traffic signal control when a traffic study indicates signal warrants are met per the MUTCD.

## Intersection of Buckner Lane and Road C

- The eastbound and westbound approaches of Road C should include one shared lane for all turning movements.
- The northbound and southbound approaches of Buckner Lane should include one left turn lane, one through lane, and one shared through/right turn lane.

## Intersection of Buckner Road Extension and Road D

- Install all-way stop-control as interim traffic control prior to completion of Buckner Road Extension.
- The northbound and southbound approaches of Road D should include one shared lane for all turning movements.
- The eastbound and westbound approaches of Buckner Road Extension should include one shared through/left turn lane and one shared through/right turn lane.

## Intersection of Buckner Road Extension and Road E

- Install all-way stop-control as interim traffic control prior to completion of Buckner Road Extension.
- The northbound approach of Road E should include one shared through/left turn lane.
- The southbound approach of Road E should include one shared through/right turn lane.
- The eastbound approach of Buckner Road Extension should include one left turn lane and one right turn lane.

These recommended transportation network improvements should be constructed before completion of development Scenario 2, which includes Phases 1 and 2 (as analyzed in this TIS or a development plan of similar density). However, it may be

appropriate to construct the improvements in more discreet phases depending on the order that parcels within Phase 2 come online. No further development should be permitted beyond Phase 2 until an interchange at I-65 is constructed that provides direct access to the property. As the property develops, parcel-specific traffic studies may be needed or required at the City's discretion to identify phasing of the recommended improvements within each development phase. It is anticipated that travel patterns in the study area will change with the construction of the development, planned roadway and intersection improvements in the vicinity of the project site, as well as the construction of a new I-65 interchange near the project site. Therefore, these parcel-specific traffic studies should be conducted to confirm the conclusions of this analysis and to more specifically define the design details of the roadway improvements, such as turn lane storage lengths and traffic control within the study area.

In summary, the traffic that is expected to be generated by the allowable development for the proposed 2660 Buckner Lane rezoning can be accommodated with significant and extensive roadway improvements in the study area paired with a well-connected internal street network. The recommended improvements associated with the proposed development are generally consistent with the City's plans to improve Buckner Lane and Buckner Road as well as its plan to pursue approval and construction of a new I-65 interchange between Thompson's Station Road East and Duplex Road. All roadway and intersection improvements associated with construction of development on the 2660 Buckner Lane property should be coordinated with the City of Spring Hill.

## Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 7 Bridgemore Village Section 6C January 21, 2021

## PERFORMANCE SURETY RELEASE REQUEST

## **Bridgemore Village, Sections 6C**

"The surety instruments guaranteeing installation of improvements may be reduced upon completion of the base asphalt and again upon completion, dedication and acceptance of such improvements and then only to the ratio that the cost of the public improvements dedicated bears to the total cost of public improvements included in said plat" (LDO Section 5.2.13).

On November 28, 2017, Section 6C was approved for the creation of single-family lots within Bridgemore Village. The plat was approved with a \$215,000 surety for roads, drainage and erosion control and a \$170,000 surety for the sewer. In January 2020, the Planning Commission reduced the surety based on the progress completed to that point. The applicant now asks for release of the performance stage of the surety and to enter the maintenance period.

The applicant's form indicates that this public improvement has been designed and installed per the approved construction plans and Town standards. This action will provide acceptance of the public improvement. The final step in the public infrastructure process is dedication of the improvement by the developer to the Town, after a maintenance period of 1 year.

This item was deferred at the November Planning Commission Meeting.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission defer this item until the February Planning Commission Meeting.

## Thompson's Station Planning Commission Staff Report – Item 8 Bridgemore Village Section 6D November 17, 2020

## SURETY REDUCTION REQUEST

## **Bridgemore Village, Sections 6D**

"The surety instruments guaranteeing installation of improvements may be reduced upon completion of the base asphalt and again upon completion, dedication and acceptance of such improvements and then only to the ratio that the cost of the public improvements dedicated bears to the total cost of public improvements included in said plat" (LDO Section 5.2.13).

On January 23, 2018, Section 6D was approved for the creation of single-family lots within Bridgemore Village. The plat was approved with a \$120,600 surety for roads, drainage and erosion control and a \$70,000 surety for the sewer. In January 2020, the Planning Commission reduced the surety based on the progress completed to that point. The applicant now asks for release of the performance stage of the surety and to enter the maintenance period.

This public improvement has been designed and installed per the approved construction plans and Town standards. This action will provide acceptance of the public improvement. The final step in the public infrastructure process is dedication of the improvement by the developer to the Town, after a maintenance period of 1 year.

## Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission:

- 1. Release performance surety for roads, drainage and erosion control and establish a maintenance agreement for one year.
- 2. Recommend BOMA note acceptance of the public improvement prior to dedication after the 1-year maintenance period.